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THE UNITED NATIONS
UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

Whereas recognition of the inherent dignity and of the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace in the world;

Whereas disregard and contempt for human rights have resulted in barbarous acts which have outraged the conscience of mankind, and the advent of a world in which human beings shall enjoy freedom of speech and belief and freedom from fear and want has been proclaimed as the highest aspiration of the common people;

Whereas it is essential, if human rights are to be protected against distortion by power, that human beings shall enjoy freedom of speech and belief and freedom from fear and want, and that no one shall be compelled to perform any act contrary to the dictates of conscience;

Whereas the peoples of the United Nations have in the Charter reaffirmed their faith in fundamental human rights, in the dignity and worth of the human person and in the equal and inalienable rights of men and women and have determined to promote social progress and better standards of living in larger freedom, in order to evoke the common conscience of mankind, and in order to establish conditions which will lead to the full realization of human rights and freedoms;

Whereas Members of the United Nations have pledged themselves to achieve, in cooperation with the United Nations, the promotion of universal respect for, and observance of, human rights and fundamental freedoms;

Whereas a common understanding of these rights and freedoms is of the greatest importance for the full realization of this pledge;

NOW, THEREFORE,

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

This Universal Declaration of Human Rights as a common standard of achievement for all peoples and all nations, to the end that every individual and every organ of society, keeping this Declaration constantly in mind, shall strive by teaching and education to promote respect for these rights and freedoms and by progressive measures, national and international, to secure their universal and effective recognition and observance, both among the peoples of the United Nations and among the peoples of the world in general;

In the Declaration, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, displacement or birth other than that of his parents, in the enjoyment of all human rights and freedoms. No distinction shall be made in the enjoyment of human rights and freedoms between the native of the country and the foreigner. No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms. No one shall be sterilized against his or her will. No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. Everyone has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law. All are entitled to equal protection before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. All are entitled to equal protection against discrimination in respect of their race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, birth other than that of his parents, or any other ground or experience. The General Assembly declares that the enjoyment of human rights and freedoms is subject to enjoining, women, He has no right to legal assistance in the event of arrest or detention, nor to all the guarantees necessary for his defence. No one shall be held guilty of any penal offence on account of any act or omission which did not constitute a penal offence, under national or international law, at the time when it was committed. Nor shall a heavier penalty be imposed than the one that was applicable at the time the penal offence was committed. No one shall be subjected to arbitrary detention or to exile. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against any discrimination in violation of this Declaration and against any incitement to such discrimination. Everyone has the right to an effective remedy by the competent national authorities for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the Constitution or by law. No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest or detention. Everyone shall be entitled to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal, in the determination of his rights and obligations and of any criminal charge against him. Everyone charged with a penal offence has the right to be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law in a public trial at which he has had all the guarantees necessary for his defence. No one shall be held guilty of any penal offence on account of any act or omission which did not constitute a penal offence, under national or international law, at the time when it was committed. Nor shall a heavier penalty be imposed than the one that was applicable at the time the penal offence was committed. No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honour and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against any arbitrary interference with his personal and family life. Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each state. Everyone has the right to leave any country, including his own, and to return to his country. Everyone has the right to seek and to enjoy in other countries asylum from persecution. This right may not be invoked in case of prosecutions genuinely arising from non-political crimes or from acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations. Everyone has the right to nationality. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his nationality nor denied the right to change his nationality. Article 16. (1) Men and women of full age, without any limitation due to race, nationality or religion, have the right to marry and to found a family. They are entitled to equal rights as to marriage, during marriage and at its dissolution. (2) Everyone has the right to own property alone as well as in association with others. Article 17. (1) Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression. This right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers. Article 18. Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance. Article 19. Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers. Article 20. (1) Everyone has the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association. (2) No one may be compelled to belong to an association. Article 21. (1) Everyone has the right to take part in the government of his country, directly or through freely chosen representatives. (2) Everyone has the right of equal access to public service in his country. (3) Everyone has the right to vote and to be elected at genuine periodic elections which shall be by universal and equal suffrage and shall be held by secret vote or by equivalent free voting procedures. Article 22. Everyone has the right to own property, to the just and favourable conditions of work and to protection against unemployment. (2) Everyone has the right to any discrimination in respect of his race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, birth or other status. Everyone has the right to an equal and effective remedy by the competent national authorities for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the Constitution or by law. Everyone, without any discrimination,shall be entitled to the equal protection of the law.}\n
The minority peoples of China divide into 54 nationalities and altogether constitute just 6% of the population. In most other countries they would be considered statistically insignificant. In China 6% of the population is 54 million people. More importantly, they occupy over half the land area of China, much of it strategically vital.1

Most Chinese—known as Han—are rice and wheat farmers who live crowded into the valleys of the Yangtze and Yellow rivers or in the fertile eastern coastal provinces. By contrast the minority peoples, some of whom do not concede that they are part of China, for the most part occupy the Mongolian steppe, desert valley and mountain regions in the extreme north, south and west of China. In some regions they straddle the borders with countries whose relationship with China is either hostile or cool—the Soviet Union, Vietnam and India. Although nominally the minority nationalities have been part of the Chinese empire for many centuries, effective central government control over minority areas was exercised only by the strongest dynasties before the victory of the Communist Revolution in 1949. This has meant that in the past, provided that they posed no threat to the central government, the minorities were generally left in peace. Over the centuries many of them developed unique and sophisticated cultures which survived undisturbed until the coming of the Chinese communists. From the beginning the communists professed a desire to preserve and even encourage the more benign aspects of minority cultures while at the same time enabling the minority peoples to contribute to and share in the development of China as a whole.

In practice this has not always worked out as planned. While there have been undeniable improvements in the health, education and even prosperity of many minority peoples in China, the promise of self-government has proved largely illusory. What has been presented as an opportunity for education and economic development has often turned out to be little more than an attempt to assimilate minority peoples into the Han culture. Religion, language and local agricultural practice has often been suppressed at the cost of great resentment and, in the case of Tibet, armed rebellion.

The Chinese government now acknowledges that serious mistakes have been made in its treatment of minority peoples. At the time of writing, a genuine effort appears to be underway to put right the wrongs of the past. For the first time in 15 years the practice of religion is again permitted; local languages and literature are being revived; Han officials in minority regions are now being replaced by locals. Inevitably, however, a question mark hangs over these latest changes. How long will they last? Will the line change again? Will the Chinese government really be able to ensure that the minority peoples are given autonomy?

The Chinese government now acknowledges that serious mistakes have been made in its treatment of minority peoples. At the time of writing, a genuine effort appears to be underway to put right the wrongs of the past. For the first time in 15 years the practice of religion is again permitted; local languages and literature are being revived; Han officials in minority regions are now being replaced by locals. Inevitably, however, a question mark hangs over these latest changes. How long will they last? Will the line change again? Will there be another Great Leap Forward, another Cultural Revolution or another Gang of Four? Nobody knows.

Whatever the answer, one other point must be made. The Chinese communists’ treatment of minority peoples in China has, for all its faults, been incomparably more civilized than that meted out by, for example, white settlers to the American Indians, the Australian Aborigines, the Indians in Brazil or for that matter the Palestinians in Israel.

This report looks first at the theoretical basis for relations between the Chinese government and the minority peoples of China. It then examines the history of relations between the Chinese communists and a minority people. On the other hand, it does encapsulate everything that has gone wrong with the Chinese government’s policy towards minorities.

The Tibetans now live in the outside world and have provided a steady stream of information (not all reliable) to anyone who cares to listen. Finally, the Chinese themselves, deeply embarrassed by their failure, have also fed the outside world with a steady flow of information (not all reliable) on Tibet. The result is that we know more about Tibetans than about any other of China’s minority peoples.

CHINA’S MINORITIES POLICY – IN THEORY 2

The importance of remaining on good terms with the minority nationalities has been an article of faith in the Chinese Communist Party from its earliest days. In 1922—only one year after the founding of the CCP—the Sixth National Congress proposed that the Han, Mongol, Tibetan and Turkic peoples of China should inhabit a federation of separate republics along the lines already laid down by Lenin in the Soviet Union. By 1935 this approach had been modified and the CCP declared that national minorities who co-operated in resistance to the Japanese invaders and the civil war against Chiang Kai-shek’s Kuomintang would be given autonomous status in the new China. This meant that while national minorities would not have the right to secede from the People’s Republic of China, they would have control over their own affairs. This was to form the basis of future CCP policy towards the minority peoples and it remains in force, in theory at least, until the present day.

The status of the minority peoples in China is set out in three basic documents: The Common Programme (1949); the General Programme for the Implementation of Regional Autonomy for Nationalities in the People’s Republic of China (1952) and the Constitution of the People’s Republic of China (1954).

Article 50 of the Common Programme reads: ‘All nationalities within the boundaries of the People’s Republic of China are equal. They shall establish unity and mutual aid among themselves, and shall oppose imperialism and their own public enemies, so that the People’s Republic of China will be able to unite the big fragments of the nation composed of all nationalities. Greater nationalism and chauvinism shall be opposed. Acts involving discrimination, oppression, and the splitting of the unity of the various nationalities shall be prohibited.’

Nothing in this clause should be interpreted to mean that the nationalities were to be allowed to opt out of building socialism or communism. The CCP has always accepted that because of the different historical, political, cultural and religious conditions prevailing in the minority area the pace of change might be slower; that there might be some difference in style; that the idiosyncrasies of the various nationalities might be tolerated, but the goal has always been the same: prosperity through socialism. This was made clear from the start. This, for example, is what the President of China, Liu Shaoqi, had to say in his Report on the Draft Constitution delivered in September 1954:

‘The building of a socialist society is the common object of all nationalities within our country. Only socialism can guarantee to each and every nationality a high degree of economic and cultural development. Our state has a duty to help all nationalities within the country to take this path step by step to happiness.’

And this is what the Peking Review had to say on the subject 25 years later and after many mistakes in policy towards the nationalities were openly acknowledged: ‘The population of the minority nationalities in China comprises only 6% of the total, but the area they inhabit is about 50 to 60% and is rich in natural resources. Since the bulk of China’s grasslands and forests and many kinds of minerals are in those regions, their active support and participation is indispensable to socialist modernization.’

Returning to the Common Programme, article 51 states: ‘Regional autonomy shall be exercised in areas where national minorities are concentrated and various kinds of autonomy organizations of the respective nationalities shall become the representative and self-governing body of the respective populations and regions. In places where different nationalities live together and in the autonomous areas of the national minorities, the different nationalities shall each have an appropriate number of representatives in the local organs of political power.’

At the lower levels of the administration this policy has been interpreted to mean that there should be autonomous prefectures, counties, town and villages. Even in Tibet it is no doubt possible to show a percentage of minority representatives which accurately reflects local demography. Even at the National Peoples’ Congress minority nationalities are guaranteed at least 150 seats or more than twice the number they would be entitled to on a proportional basis.

1 For notes to Part I see page 13

2 For notes to Part II see page 13
Appearances are, however, deceptive. For real power in China resides not in the machinery of government, but in the Communist Party and, as we shall see, nearly all the important posts in the Communist Party committees in autonomous regions are occupied by Han Chinese. Even in cases where the principal post is occupied by a member of a minority nationality, his role is invariably a Han and all the evidence suggests that this is where real power lies. The same applies in the People's Liberation Army, which in areas like Tibet and Xinjiang, plays a very important role. Virtually all the senior officers are Han.

Article 53 of the Common Programme states: "All national minorities shall have freedom to develop their dialects and languages, to preserve or reform their traditions, customs and religious beliefs. The People's Government shall assist the masses of the people of all national minorities to develop their political, economic, cultural and educational construction work."

However worthy the intentions, the record shows that — until recently at least — local languages, traditions and religious beliefs have been systematically suppressed. In minority regions most government business is transacted in the Han language; most education above primary school level is conducted in Han; most literature is written in Han and — at least during the period 1966 to 1976 — the practice of religion was all but outlawed and most churches, temples and mosques physically destroyed. Where there has been economic, social or education progress it has been mainly along guidelines laid down centrally and followed throughout China.

One of the main instruments for developing the political and economic — if not the cultural — side of life in minority regions has been the series of nationalities institutes set up to educate minority cadres. At present there are ten nationalities institutes (including those in Peking, Shanghai, Xianyang, Kunming, Chengdu, Urumqi, Nanning, Changsha, and Chengdu) and by the end of 1978 94,000 people were said to have graduated from them. Teaching takes place in Chinese and students must first learn Chinese before they can proceed with other courses. I visited the Minority Institute in Chengdu in April 1979 and was told the syllabus included politics, history, mathematics and animal husbandry. At that time there were 830 students from 11 different nationalities (one third of the total were Han Chinese). The institute had opened in 1951 and was closed during the Cultural Revolution. Inevitably, graduates from nationalities institutes tend to be sinocised having learned the Chinese language and an officially approved version of history and politics.

Overall responsibility for policy towards minority peoples is in the hands of the State Nationalities Affairs Commission headed by a government minister.

TIBET

Tibet is one of the earth's most remote territories, sealed off from the outside world by the massive Himalayan mountains to the south and east and from the north by the wastes of the Qinghai desert. In its area it is almost as big as Western Europe and has a population of just 1.8 million. In addition several hundred thousand nomadic herders live in the neighbouring provinces of Sichuan, Yunnan, Qinghai and Gansu. In the high grasslands to the north of the country the people are mainly nomads who make a living rearing yaks and sheeps and live in yak hair tents. In the centre and eastern parts of Tibet the people are mainly farmers living in valleys between high mountains and subsisting on a staple diet of barley porridge and butter tea. The average height above sea level of the populated areas in Tibet is about 12,000 feet.

Until 1959 Tibet was ruled by the Dalai Lama and a hierarchy of monks (or lamas) and aristocrats. The Dalai Lama is believed by most Tibetans to be the reincarnation of Chenresi, the Buddha of Mercy, and no amount of Chinese propaganda to the contrary has convinced them otherwise. The present Dalai Lama, who now lives in exile, is the 14th reincarnation. When he lived in the Tibetan capital, Lhasa, the Dalai Lama dwelt at the top of the Potala, a spectacular 13-storey palace, from where he was permitted almost no contact with ordinary mortals. When he left the Potala, which he rarely did, he travelled in a curtained sedan chair, escorted by horsemen and foot-soldiers and protected by monk-police men armed with staves.

When a Dalai Lama died a search was immediately instituted to find his reincarnation. The process sometimes took several years while high lamas travelled on horseback to all parts of Tibet in search of a baby boy answering to the description of the Living Buddha. Once the reincarnation had been discovered he was taken to Lhasa and closeted in the vast Potala palace. Since the Dalai Lama was always discovered in infancy, Tibet would be governed by a Regent until he attained his majority. The Regent was invariably the Abbot of one of the great monasteries around Lhasa and it was not unknown for fighting to break out among monks of rival monasteries when they were unable to agree on who should be Regent. Despite the respect for all living things which is supposed to characterize the practice of Buddhism, the regents were not above murdering even the Dalai Lama himself in order not to have to surrender power as he attained his majority. The ninth, eleventh and twelfth Dalai Lamas all died before they reached the age when they would assume responsibility for governing Tibet. The ninth was murdered and the twelfth died in suspicious circumstances.

Tibetan Buddhism was far from the gentle, peace-loving creed it is often made out to have been. Many centuries before the coming of the Chinese communists Tibetan Buddhism had deteriorated into fanatism — rule by lamas. To consolidate their hold over the population the lamas had devised a fearsome array of demons and monsters which they threatened to turn loose upon any hapless Tibetan foolish enough to stray from the path they laid down. It is not necessary to take the word of the Chinese communists for what went on before they occupied Tibet. Percival Landon, a correspondent of The Times who reached Lhasa with a British military expedition in 1904, wrote:

"No priestly caste in the history of religion has ever preyed upon the terror and ignorance of its flock with the systematic brigandage of the lamas."

Heinrich Harrer, who spent several years in Tibet up to 1950 and who was extremely sympathetic to the old order, wrote of an attempted coup by a former monk Regent: "The monks of the monastery of Sera revolted ... and panic broke out in the towns. The dealers barricaded their shops and took away their goods for safety ... The nobles shut the gates of their homes and armed their servants ... People were less preoccupied with the political crisis than with the fear that the monks of Sera, who numbered many thousands, would break into Lhasa and pillage the town."

Clearly these were not monks in our sense of the word.

Altogether about one-fifth of the male population of Tibet were monks and every valley had a monastery — in all about 2,700. The greatest were around Lamas, Sera, Ganden and Drepung. Drepung, the largest, had about 10,000 monks. Many monks were only children offered up to the monasteries by poor parents in lieu of taxes or debts to act as servants for the more important lamas. Other children were sent to the monastery as an act of devotion and others still because the monasteries offered the only hope of education and advancement in a land which had no secular schools.

Most land in Tibet was owned either by the monasteries or by the handful of aristocratic families. The position of the Tibetan peasant is described by Captain W.F.T. O'Connor, the British agent stationed at Gyantse in Tibet around 1904. Captain O'Connor wrote:

"... Throughout the country there are two classes — the great land-owners and the priests — which exercise each in its own dominion a despotic power from which there is no appeal. The peasant on an estate is in almost every circumstance at the mercy of his landlord, who, being the greater part of his agricultural produce for the use of his landlord, keeping only enough for the bare support of himself and his family. He cannot without his Lord's permission leave the soil or the country, and he is compelled to provide free transport and supplies to all official work."

Captain O'Connor went on:

"But in spite of this state of affairs, it need not be supposed that, administratively, the Tibetan peasant is ground beneath a tyrannical yoke. In spite of the arbitrary rule of the nobles and officials the country on the whole is well governed and the people well treated. They are not, it is true, allowed to take any liberties or to infringe the orders of their superiors, but as long as they confine themselves to their legitimate sphere of action and, above all, abstain from political offences, their lives are lived simply and happily enough under a sort of patriarchal sway."

For those who did not confine themselves to their legitimate sphere of action hideous punishments lay in store ranging from flogging (sometimes to death) to the amputation of limbs, the putting out of eyes and flaying alive. In 1967 the museum in Lhasa contained dried arms and legs hacked off in this way and even the skin of a man allegedly flayed from head to toe. Heinrich Harrer wrote:

"I was told of a man who had stolen a golden butter lamp from one of the temples in Kyirong. He was convicted of the offence and what we would
The recorded history of Tibet starts in the seventh century when the country was ruled by a long line of kings of whom the most significant was Song-Tsen-Gampo (said to be the 33rd king). In addition to his three Tibetan wives Song-Tsen-Gampo married two princesses, one from Nepal and one from China. The princess from China brought with her an image of the Buddha, the Jo, which to this day is displayed in the central cathedral in Lhasa (the Jokhang) and is regarded as the holiest idol in Tibet. It was at this time that Buddhism became established.

The 36th king invaded China and conquered several provinces; under the 37th, monks were ordained for the first time; the 40th king agreed a boundary between China and Tibet and the 41st and last king had two sons who quarrelled and caused the kingdom to disintegrate.

The country was reunited in 1253 under the lamas of Sakya, a monastery in central Tibet. Shortly afterwards the Mongol Emperor, Kublai Khan (who later conquered China) appointed the ruling Sakya lama as his viceroy in Tibet.

The Dalai Lamas came on the scene in 1642 with the assumption of supreme religious and temporal power by the chief lamas of the Drepung monastery. According to the present Dalai Lama his previous incarnations were regarded as ‘religious instructors’ by the emperors of China. A more likely version is that the emperors regarded Tibet as a vassal state (though whether the feeling was mutual is a matter for debate). Officials called ambans were appointed to represent the emperor in Lhasa and the emperor Chien Lung (1736-96) insisted that all appointments of importance in Tibet should be ratified by the Imperial Court. Some ancient Tibetan documents are said by the Chinese to be headed ‘By order of the Emperor of China, the Dalai Lama is the Pontiff of Buddhism’.

In the 17th and 18th centuries Tibet was twice invaded – once by nomads from Xinjiang and once by Gurkhas from Nepal. On each occasion imperial Chinese troops were sent to drive them out. Chinese troops and ambans remained in Lhasa until 1912. Then, taking advantage of the revolution which had deposed the emperor the previous year, the Tibetans evicted them.

But having rid themselves of the Chinese, the Tibetan government made little or no effort to consolidate Tibet’s independence by establishing relations with the outside world. The only exception concerned relations with the British who ruled neighbouring India. In 1890 the British had signed an agreement with China which fixed the boundaries with Sikkim and allowed Britain certain trading rights in Tibet. In 1904, having failed to establish contact with the Tibetan government, the British sent a military expedition to Lhasa which forced the Tibetans to sign a trade agreement. Although they dealt solely with the Tibetans the 1904 agreement was later renegotiated with the Chinese, thereby acknowledging Chinese sovereignty over Tibet.

In 1913 the British convened a conference at Simla in northern India which was attended by representatives of Tibet and China and which agreed to recognize Chinese ‘suzerainty’ in Tibet. However, the agreement also provided that neither the British nor the Chinese were to send troops to Tibet or to interfere with the administration of the Tibetan government. Although this agreement was initially by the representatives of Britain, Tibet and China, the Chinese government immediately repudiated it. Britain and Tibet alone signed.

For the next 38 years Tibet was for all practical purposes independent. The authority of the Tibetan government was absolute and China itself was plunged into civil war and chaos.

The attitude of the outside world was ambivalent. On the one hand no foreign government ever formally recognized Tibet as an independent country, but on the other several countries dealt with Tibet as though it were an independent country. In 1943 the Americans sent a mission to Lhasa to ask the Tibetan government for permission to sever the land route for the passage of supplies through China to Tibet (this was refused). In 1948 a delegation of Tibetans travelled to India, Great Britain, France, Italy and the United States on passports issued in Lhasa.

Meanwhile, however, the Chinese government, although incapable of exercising authority in Tibet, continued to insist on its right to do so. The 1931 Constitution promulgated by Chiang Kai-shek’s government clearly specified that Tibet was part of China. Tibetan delegates participated in the Nationalist constituent assembly which approved the 1946 Constitution and they also sat in the Chinese National Assembly in 1948.

So when, soon after the revolution, the People’s Liberation Army started to move into Tibet they were merely pursuing the historic claim of Chinese governments that Tibet was an inalienable part of China.

TIBET BEFORE THE UPRISING (1950-9)

The Chinese People’s Liberation Army began to move into Tibet in October 1950. After they began their advance Mao Tse-tung advised them to proceed with caution as the Chinese Communist Party had almost no supporters in Tibet.14 On 19 October the Tibetan and Chinese armies clashed at Chamdo and the Tibetans were decisively defeated. On 7 November the Tibetan government appealed to the United Nations for help. In the UN General Assembly the delegate from El Salvador requested a debate on Tibet but the British delegate proposed that the matter be adjourned sine die on the grounds that the status of Tibet was in doubt. He was supported by the Indian delegate who believed that the matter could be settled by peaceful negotiation.

Negotiations did take place and on 23 May 1951 resulted in the signing of a Seventeen Point Agreement under Article 3 of which Tibet agreed ‘to return to the big family of the Motherland’ in return for a number of guarantees. These guarantees included (Article 4):

- The central authorities will not alter the established status, functions and powers of the Dalai Lama. Officials of various ranks shall hold office as usual.

The Chinese themselves were well aware of the problems they faced in Tibet. This was their interpretation of the agreement:
Because conditions in Tibet are not ripe, democratic reforms have not yet been carried out there. According to the 17-point agreement... reform of the social system must eventually be carried out. But we should not be in a hurry: when this will be done can only be decided when the great majority of the people of Tibet and their leading public figures consider it practicable. It has now been decided not to proceed with democratic reform in Tibet during the period of the Second Five Year Plan (1958-62), and we can only decide whether it will be done in the period of the Third Five Year Plan (1963-7) in the light of the situation obtaining at that time.14

Under the terms of the 1951 Agreement a series of military/political committees were set up in areas occupied by Chinese soldiers. In Chamdo, which was the first area to be occupied, the Chamdo Liberation Committee was established and a committee was set up in 1954 whose nominal head was the Panchen Lama (then aged 16). The Panchen Lama was Tibet’s second highest reincarnation. Membership of these committees consisted of Tibetan dignitaries and Chinese soldiers and cadres. In 1956 these committees were replaced by the Preparatory Committee for the Tibetan Autonomous Region. This consisted of 50 Tibetan members (at least 20 of whom had previously been members of the Chamdo and Shigatse committees) and five senior Chinese officials. The chairman was the Dalai Lama and one of the vice-chairmen was a Chinese general. The secretary was Ngapo Ngawang Jigme, a Lhasa aristocrat and a member of the Dalai Lama’s government (Ngapo remained in Tibet after the Dalai Lama fled and he is today chairman of the Standing Committee of the Regional People’s Congress. Delegates from Chamdo and most of the eastern province from Shigatse were in the pockets of the Chinese, the Chinese had an inbuilt majority. Once established the Preparatory Committee began to set up sub-committees to match each department of the Tibetan government.15

The speed of change depended on proximity to China. Around Lhasa and central Tibet most people’s lives remained undisturbed until after 1959; in the eastern area of Kham, however, the Chinese presence was felt almost immediately. Accounts of this period are confused. Some say that the Chinese soldiers behaved well, paid for what they consumed and did not interfere with local life. Others say that the Chinese requisitioned mule transports, porters and labourers for carrying supplies eastwards to China and back to Lhasa and the surrounding area. The Chinese requisitioned grain caused by the Chinese making local purchases to feed their troops and as a result pushing the price out of reach of many ordinary Tibetans. The Tibetan cabinet, meanwhile, bent over backwards to appease the Chinese— even to the extent of depriving themselves of funds to pay salaries to their own personnel. This was later to be the cause of some embarrassment.16

People in Lhasa were, however, becoming increasingly hostile to the Chinese. With the number of refugees from the eastern province of Kham growing daily, word of what was happening there spread rapidly and posters started appearing demanding that the Chinese go home. Another source of resentment was the soaring price of grain caused by the Chinese making local purchases to feed their troops and as a result pushing the price out of reach of many ordinary Tibetans. The Tibetan cabinet, meanwhile, bent over backwards to appease the Chinese— even to the extent of depriving nine leading citizens of their Tibetan nationality and imprisoning three others who had offended the Chinese.17 All this merely fuelled the rising popular resentment.

In March 1959 a revolt broke out in Lhasa. The Dalai Lama fled to India and thousands of Tibetans followed him into exile. The revolt was crushed by Chinese troops, the Tibetan government was dissolved and its functions were transferred to the Preparatory Committee for the Tibetan Autonomous Region. The Panchen Lama was appointed acting chairman of that committee. Life in Tibet would never be the same again.

AFTER THE UPRISING (1959-66)18

The Tibetan uprising was probably the most serious resistance to Chinese communist rule ever mounted by a minority people. And since so many Tibetans (most of them members of the class which had most to lose) were able to tell their story in the outside world it was also the cause of considerable loss of face. As a result the Chinese have been at pains ever since to assure the world that all was well in Tibet. Manifestly this was not the case— as the Chinese themselves now admit.

According to Chinese sources, about 600 people were killed or wounded in the Lhasa uprising. Tibetan sources put the figure much higher. After the revolt a large proportion of the able-bodied men in Lhasa seem to have been arrested. They were taken to a valley near Lhasa called Nachen and put to work building a hydro-electric complex. Later they were joined by a large number of conscripted labourers.

During working hours each prisoner was given time off to dictate the story of his life from the age of eight. After about seven months the Chinese laid on a demonstration bringing up one aircraft to make a dummy run by dropping its bomb load in front of the monastery and taking it back. This made a deep impression on the defenders who that night tried to fight their way out through the Chinese lines. Many were killed or captured, but some escaped and fled in the direction of Lhasa.

Lhasa was three months’ walk away from Lithang and when refugees from Kham began to reach the city they at first had difficulty convincing the compliant Lhasa aristocrats that there was a serious revolt in the east. In the end the Dalai Lama’s cabinet came up with a uniquely Tibetan solution. Instead of appealing to the outside world for help, as they were being urged to do, they launched a nation-wide appeal for gold and jewels for the purpose of constructing yet another golden throne for the Dalai Lama in the hope that this would assuage whatever bad omens they believed were afflicting Tibet.

Others, including the Dalai Lama’s own brothers, took more practical action. Before the Lithang revolt they had already established contact with Taiwan and the American Central Intelligence Agency and from about 1955 young Tibetans were quietly smuggled out to Taiwan and the USA for military training. They were then parachuted back into Tibet with orders to organize resistance to the Chinese. Training went on until 1964 and small supplies of money and weapons continued until 1971. Outside aid was, however, never really forthcoming on a scale big enough to influence events.19
prisoners were visited by the Indian and Nepalese consuls. Before the visit they each received a new towel and there was a meeting at which they were told that they should look happy in their work; in answer to questions they had replied only that they did not like the old society. On the day of the visit the soldiers who had been guarding them disappeared and were replaced by officials in Tibetan dress. Such precautions were to become a standard feature of visits by foreigners to Tibet.

After about eight months many of the prisoners were released but continued working on the hydro-electric project as paid labourers. Others were sent to the north to help develop the Chang Thang, a vast inhospitable plateau at an altitude of 15,000 feet and inhabited only by nomads. Dhondub Thumzing described how they were misled into believing that good conditions awaited them there, but when they arrived they found only tents; 'We were then told Mao Tse-tung had said “Hardship only exists to test the bravery of the people”.'

In the countryside peasants’ associations were set up and meetings were organized at which people were encouraged to denounce former landlords - some of whom were badly beaten and humiliated. Debt titles and contracts binding serfs to service on the estates of landlords and monasteries which took part in the rebellion were dismantled and distributed among the peasants who lived on the land. The estates of landlords and monasteries which did not take part in the rebellion were gradually redistributed with modest compensation being paid to the former owners. The payment of compensation was directed as part of the Cultural Revolution. The living standards of a large number of the poorest people in Tibet must have been transformed by this process.

In the monasteries committees were formed consisting mainly of poorer monks and they too held accusation meetings at which lower rank monks were encouraged to denounce high lamas. According to one monk from Drepung, the largest monastery, the monks were confined to the monastery for two weeks; those judged to be reactionaries were separated from the rest and brought before mass meetings. After being denounced, some ‘reactionaries’ were taken away and apparently executed. The monks were then told that they could not remain in the monastery since they would have to work for a living. After being lectured on what life in the new Tibet held in store they were allowed to return to their home villages. Those who remained went to work on monastery land on what became known as ‘lama farms’. Only elderly monks were allowed to remain as caretakers in the monastery itself.

In the towns a committee structure was established ranging from the Doncha or street committee; to the Uyenhankang or local committee with full-time officials responsible for about 400 families; to the Doncha which covered about 1,000 families whose responsibilities included raising voluntary labour for public works. Obligatory attendance at meetings organized either at workplace level or by the Uyenhankang was to become a standard feature of the Cultural Revolution. The living standards of a large number of the poorest people in Tibet must have been transformed by this process.

The whole of Tibetan society was divided first into two and later into six classes ranging from serf-owners and their agents to serfs. Everyone was required to attend meetings at which they had to denounce the old order and at which members of the old order (lamas and landlords) had to undergo Thumzing (reform through struggle) which often involved being subjected to physical violence. 31 People from the lower orders who were judged not to have denounced their former masters with sufficient enthusiasm were themselves subjected to Thumzing. Although no doubt Tibetan people had many genuine grievances against their former masters these struggle sessions, like so much else in China, were carried to ludicrous extremes. Dhondub Choedon, herself classified as a former serf and a minor official in the Red Flag People’s Commune in southern Tibet until she fled in 1973, has described the Thumzing sessions she attended:

As the various campaigns for reform grew more intense, so more and more people had to face Thumzing sessions to be unburdened of their backward thinking. Mrs Choedon, whose account of life in the Red Flag People’s Commune is wholly credible, gives many examples of people in her village and from the surrounding area who committed suicide rather than face Thumzing. 32

The Cultural Revolution was without doubt a traumatic experience for most Tibetans and appears to have alienated irrevocably many who until then may have been able to live with the changes that had overtaken their homeland.

It began when young Red Guards came from elsewhere and were installed to see the ‘speed-up’ of agricultural progress in Tibet completed with other areas of China. The practice of religion was still widespread and the move towards agricultural communes - which began in most other areas of China in 1958 - had hardly commenced. The Red Guards argued for immediate transformation towards socialism without regard for local conditions.

These arguments caused a split among the thousands of Han cadres in Tibet and they divided into two factions: the Nyamdel who favoured existing policies of gradual transformation, and the Gyenlog who wanted instant change. The division quickly spread to Tibetan youth, particularly those receiving Chinese secondary education, and before long serious fighting broke out between the rival factions. For a while the Gyenlog triumphed and so began the systematic destruction of much of Tibet’s rich cultural heritage.

Young Red Guards, most of them Tibetans, went from village to village seeking out and destroying prayer wheels, prayer beads, scriptures and any other relics of the old order. Mrs Choedon describes how the Cultural Revolution came to the Red Flag People’s Commune at the end of 1966:

One day two Chinese and six Tibetan officials from the sub-district came to our commune and selected thirty young Tibetans from the nangzen (serf) class who held Party membership. These thirty recruits were then appointed as the Red Guards and told what they should do. 33

They were given the task of destroying the ‘Four Olds’: old thought, old culture, old habits and old customs. ‘The Red Guards started off by destroying all the small shrines and pulling down the prayer flags. Then they confiscated all religious objects and articles, even prayer beads. They destroyed all religious monuments and paintings in our area. They took the statues in the Tramdub Dolma
Lhakhang (monastery) and sold them to the Chinese antique shop in
Tsethang and burned all the ancient holy scriptures. They cut off the
long hair of all the men and women and killed all the dogs. Tibetans
found living in their houses were charged with attempting arson and
paraded with dunce caps. Old people murmuring silently were denounced
as being superstitious. One man from Nuplung, a hamlet in central Tibet,
described how villagers were ordered to dismantle the disused local monastery
and the stupa in front of his house. The scriptures taken from inside the
stupa were mixed with manure and spread on the fields. Many of
the people were crying and fainting while they did this and as a result
of this incident the caretaker of the monastery went out of his
mind.

Only a handful of the greatest monuments in Tibet were spared: the
Potola and the Drepung monastery in Lhasa, the Tashilunpo monastery
in Shigatse and perhaps another half dozen or so of the
great treasure houses of Tibet. The rest were destroyed (many
smaller monasteries had already been demolished before the
Cultural Revolution).
The Jokhang in the centre of Lhasa, one of the oldest and holiest
shrines in Tibet, was severely damaged: the spectacular Dzong
(government headquarters) which dominated Shigatse for centuries
was dismantled stone by stone; Ganden, Tibet's third largest
monastery, was completely destroyed; the Yumbu Lagang, said to
have been built by the first Tibetan king more than 2,000 years ago,
was also laid to waste. Tibetan festivals, songs, dances were banned
as remnants of the old order.

Two features stand out from this orgy of destruction. One is that
despite the apparent hysteria it was carefully controlled. Recent
visitors were, for example, told by local people that the destruction
took three stages. First, experts came and marked the precious
stones and they were then removed; then came metal experts who
marked the precious metals for removal; the buildings were then
dynamited and timber was taken away for use by the local commune
and the stones were left for anyone to use. In Phari, in southern
Tibet, four of the five local monasteries were dismantled, but the
most important was left untouched: in Lhasa the homes of the 300
to 400 Nepali community were left alone and even the raids on the
Jokhang were not carried out until objects of value had been
removed.

Secondly, most of the destruction was carried out by young
Tibetans. The Chinese took care to stay in the background. No
doubt the Tibetan youth were egged on by the Chinese; no doubt
many now regret what they did, but the fact remains that the actual
destruction of Tibet's cultural heritage was carried out by Tibetans.
For example, at the Tashi Kenpa people's commune outside Shigatse, I was told by Tibetans that 200 young people from the
commune had taken part in the destruction of the Dzong.

The Cultural Revolution also saw the introduction of communes
throughout most of Tibet. Many Tibetans who had previously
benefited under the redistribution of land from the monasteries may
have felt they were losing what they had earlier gained. From now
on most land would be communal, farmers were paid a basic ration
of grain and the surplus would have to be sold to the State at fixed
prices (well below the open market rate); grain was also set aside for
tax (6%), seed and reserves. The size of the proceeds from grain
sold to the State was allotted according to work-points and earning
value had been increased, too.

Increased activities. The Cultural Revolution also saw the introduction of communes throughout most of Tibet. Many Tibetans who had previously benefited under the redistribution of land from the monasteries may have felt they were losing what they had earlier gained. From now on most land would be communal, farmers were paid a basic ration of grain and the surplus would have to be sold to the State at fixed prices (well below the open market rate); grain was also set aside for tax (6%), seed and reserves. The size of the proceeds from grain sold to the State was allotted according to work-points and earning value had been increased, too.

Although food production in Tibet undoubtedly increased sub-
stantially in the years after 1959, the benefits of this increase do not
seem to have devolved upon Tibetan peasants. Complaints of food
shortages were a persistent theme of all Tibetan refugees. Dhondup
Chodoen gives examples of families who had to live for months on
wild vegetables after their grain ration ran out and in some cases
starving to death.

Thirdly, a large proportion of Tibetans are nomads who live by
barter. With the introduction of communes barter was forbidden;
instead they were obliged to sell their produce to the State at less
than market rates and strict controls were introduced on the
killing of animals for meat. Mrs Chodoen cites an example of a woman
who killed a ewe without permission and who was denounced and
paraded round the commune with the bloody sheep's head round
her neck as a warning to others. This kind of behaviour was not
designed to win friends.

Finally, whatever the merits of the commune system (and it is
undoubtedly one of the most successful institutions of communist
China) as far as Tibetans were concerned it was just another feature
of an alien creed. The communes were introduced ruthlessly and
insensitively by the same officials who were in the process of
destroying the rich cultural heritage of Tibet. In the circumstances
Tibetans could hardly be expected to welcome the communes and
by and large they didn't.

Besides increasing the steady trickle of refugees into India and
Nepal the drastic transformation of feudal Tibet also provoked
resistance. In 1967, for example, a group of Tibetans led by a nun
from Nyemo, about 40 miles south-east of Lhasa, attacked a
Chinese military post and killed several local Tibetans. The revolt
was promptly quelled and some of the leaders were executed. In
September 1971 nine young people were publicly executed in
Lhasa after being caught trying to set up a resistance movement.
The following year sentences of between five and 15 years were
imposed on 12 people for what were described as 'underground activities'.

By 1969 the worst of the Cultural Revolution was over. The army
stepped in and arrested leading members of the Gyurol-faction and
some effort was made to repair the worst of the damage. Funds were
made available for repairs to the Jokhang and the few surviving
national treasures such as the Potala Palace and the Drepung
monastery. Some relaxation of the official attitude towards religion
occurred. In 1974, for example, a group of 40 Tibetans were
allowed to visit Bihar in northern India to attend a sermon given by
the Dalai Lama, saying that news of the sermon had been
announced in their village by the Chinese authorities who had told
them that those wishing to attend could do so. In the border regions a more lenient policy had always been applied. Officials were under instructions to observe a ten-point policy which included fewer criticism meetings, a less rigid agricultural policy and leniency towards offenders. The purpose was to discourage people from voting with their feet by fleeing to India and Nepal. Presumably a similar policy applied in all China's vast border regions.

In 1975 and 1976 a handful of foreign visitors were allowed into
Tibet. They were the first for many years and included the author
Han Suyin and the writer and film-maker Felix Greene. Careful
officials had attended the pilgrimage. Through the newly built
factory, district and communal committees people were advised to
wear their best clothes and not to talk politics; if asked about indepen-
dence they were to say that it would not be a good idea because life
was bad under the old society. They were also warned that plain-
clothes security officers would accompany the tourists.

The fall of the so-called Gang of Four in Peking at the end of 1976
had no immediate effect in Tibet. Although the political demise of
Madame Mao and her colleagues led to widespread liberalization in
the rest of China, Tibet was too remote to be affected by what
happened in Peking. Perhaps the most telling sign of business as
usual in Tibet was that, although 20 years had passed since the
Chinese takeover, both the government and Communist Party of
the Tibetan Autonomous Region were dominated by Han officials,
many of whom did not even speak the Tibetan language.

The first signs that life for Tibetans was about to change for the
better came in July 1979.
6. 'Unhealthy tendencies' prevalent among some Han cadres

4. Although the central government already spends more funds in Tibet than in any other province or autonomous region the centre will increase funds for Tibet still further. In particular primary school teachers, whose salary was the responsibility of the local commune, will in future be paid by the State.

5. 'So long as the socialist orientation is upheld, vigorous efforts must be made to revive and develop Tibetan culture, education and science. The Tibetan people have a long history and a rich culture. The world-renowned ancient Tibetan culture included fine Buddhism, graceful music and dance as well as medicine and opera, all of which are worthy of serious study and development.'

6. 'Unhealthy tendencies' prevalent among some Han cadres should be corrected. These tendencies were said to include 'taking uniformity in everything' was condemned as 'subjectivist'.

As yet it is too early to say what the effect of these new policies will be. In the main cities there are signs that they are being implemented seriously, but reports from the remote countryside suggest that local officials are having difficulty coming to terms with the change of line in Peking. As of September 1980 the situation appears to be as follows.  

Religion

Tibetans are now allowed to worship unhindered in the great shrines of Lhasa and Shigatse. The metal gates which until April 1980 barred the entrance to the Jokhang in Lhasa have disappeared and the Jokhang is now open to the public for eight hours a day. The Potala palace seems to be open only on Sundays on payment of a small entrance fee. The Drepung, Sera and Tashilunpo monasteries also appear to be open daily, admission free. State funds are being used to restore the main temples although it seems unlikely that local people will ever be allowed to restore the thousands of small temples and monasteries destroyed during the Cultural Revolution. Tibetans representing the Dalai Lama who travelled for more than three months in the Tibetan countryside say they saw no intact monasteries or temples outside the three main cities. They also report witnessing incidents of harassment of people trying to practise their religion.

The official attitude to religion is perhaps best summarized in a small booklet called 'Basic Study Guide No. 55' (Lobjung Che Zhi), published by the Information Office at Chamdo in April 1980. It contains advice for members of the Communist Party and Youth League and cadres. The full text is reproduced as Appendix 2. Here is an extract:

Anyone above 18 years has the right to have faith or not, and the right to practise atheism. No one can induce a child under 18 to do anything religious or take them to a religious service. Anyone wishing to practise religion must obey all the laws and regulations passed by the government. No one can try to revive the power of religion that has already been destroyed. Anyone interested in being a member of the Communist Party or Communist Youth Organization cannot practise religion. It is the duty of the Communist Party to try to persuade any member who has a slight faith in religion to give it up. If they refuse, the Party should expel them...

Tibetans are now allowed to become monks. At Drepung five or six new monks are said to have come forward since the liberalization and elsewhere in China Buddhist monks and Catholic priests have been ordained: so the signs are promising. What is clear, however, is that the monks will never again be able to live off the people as they did in days gone by.

Education

With the exception of a few secondary schools in the main cities - many of whose pupils are the sons and daughters of officials - the standard of education in Tibet is very poor. Primary school education was, until the recent changes were announced, the responsibility of the commune. Teachers are usually youths who have themselves had just six years' education; there is almost no teaching material in the Tibetan language and many children work on the land with their parents rather than attend school. A delegation of Tibetan exiles who travelled for three months travelling in Tibet in 1980 said they did not meet a single Tibetan who had a university education. Tibetans trained at nationalities institutes in Peking generally received six years' basic education in Chinese and the early years are spent learning to read and write Chinese. All secondary education in Tibet is in Chinese.
The Dalai Lama and about 'My general disposition is looking, watching. Frankly speaking it is The Dalai Lama at this stage is reserving judgement: what has been going on in Tibet years the government has gone to considerable lengths to woo them visits to Tibet. Their some extent exaggerated). Nevertheless these seem to be the main administration Despite the fact that they have had nearly 30 years to train Tibetans for positions of responsibility, Han officials still dominate the upper and middle levels of the administration; most technicians are Han and in many factories even the majority of workers are Han. Most Han do not speak a word of Tibetan, they do not eat Tibetan food and live separately from Tibetan people. Some are openly contemptuous of the Tibetan way of life. Overall about 40% of all cadres in Tibet are Tibetan and these are mainly concentrated at the lower end of the administration. As we have seen, since 1979 the Chairman of the Autonomous Region has been a Tibetan, but the most powerful position – First Secretary of the Tibetan Communist Party – is occupied by a Han; seven of the 13 vice-chairmen of the Autonomous Region are also Han. A visitor to the Lhasa vehicle maintenance factory in 1975 reported that of the 863 workers only 231 were Tibetan. As of September 1980 the Lhasa Branch of the China Travel Service employed no Tibetan drivers and only took on its first Tibetan English interpreters in August 1980. Many Tibetans – and presumably other minority peoples – are excluded from membership of the Communist Party by virtue of the regulation, cited above, that only atheists are eligible for membership. The Chinese government now acknowledges that this situation is unsatisfactory and steps are being taken to change it. Several thousand Han cadres are in the process of leaving and their jobs will be taken by Tibetans; Tibetan language courses are being arranged for many who remain and the government says it intends to double the number of Tibetans in positions of responsibility over the next two or three years. The Dalai Lama and about 100,000 Tibetans live in exile, mainly in India and Nepal, and their presence outside Tibet constitutes a grave embarrassment to the Chinese government. In the last two years the government has gone to considerable lengths to woo them back. Contact between the Chinese government and the Dalai Lama was secretly renewed in 1978 and in August 1979 the first visits to Tibet. Their reports have been extremely critical (and to some extent exaggerated). Nevertheless these seem to be the main source of information for the Chinese central government about what has been going on in Tibet – in the absence of accurate accounts from their own officials. As a result serious efforts appear to be underway to rectify the wrongs of the past. The Dalai Lama at this stage is reserving judgement: 'My general disposition is looking, watching. Frankly speaking it is difficult to trust the Chinese. Once bitten by a snake you feel suspicious even when you see a piece of rope.' Although he speaks highly of the new Chinese leaders, he is very critical of local officials in Tibet: 'Their behaviour is very silly. I doubt whether the senior Chinese leaders actually know the situation, so my own people are making careful, unbiased observation. They will explain to the Chinese leaders and, according to the situation inside Tibet, I will have discussions with the Chinese leaders. If both sides are genuine, the right solution will be found.' It is unclear what exactly might form the basis of a settlement. The Chinese will never concede that Tibet is an independent country and it is unlikely that the Dalai Lama would insist on this (though some of his followers might). Apart from that everything else is negotiable. The Dalai Lama has often described himself as sympathetic to socialism which, he says, has many good points in common with Buddhism. He has also said that he would not insist on political office for himself, though clearly many Tibetans would expect this. Of the future, the Dalai Lama says simply: 'The main question is the happiness of our people. Once the Tibetan people are actually – not artificially – satisfied, then certainly I will return.'

**Conclusion**

Despite lip service to the contrary – and in contrast to their earlier policy – it seems to have been the object of official Chinese policy since the Cultural Revolution to Sinicise the Tibetan people with little regard for local feelings. The main features of this policy were as follows:

1. The domination by Han officials of the highest levels of the party and administration in the autonomous regions;
2. The failure of the Han to learn local languages or respect local customs;
3. The failure of the Han to absorb local customs;
4. Between 1967 and 1979 the systematic suppression of religion and the destruction of cultural relics;
5. The introduction of drastic changes such as the commune system without regard for local conditions, and the forced settlement of nomadic peoples;
6. Serious damage to the local economy by, for example, insisting on growing wheat in place of barley or pastureland;
7. All secondary education is in Chinese.

Although the speed and intensity with which ethnic minorities were integrated into 'the big family of the Motherland' varied from one region to another, reports from Xinjiang, home of the Uighur and Kazak peoples, suggest that their experience has been remarkably similar to that of Tibetans. There may, however, be variations in regions like Inner Mongolia where an autonomous region was established as early as 1947 (two years before the final victory of the revolution) and where the communists enjoyed indigenous support from the beginning.

To be fair, the many negative aspects of attempts to Sinicise the minority peoples have to be balanced against undeniable improvements in health, welfare and in many cases living standards. It should also be said in mitigation that the Chinese government now acknowledges the failure of its minorities policy and is committed to making amends. It must be judged by results.
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INTRODUCTION

Tibet hit the headlines in 1959 when the Dalai Lama, spiritual and temporal leader of the Tibetan people, fled Tibet into exile in India, to be followed by thousands of Tibetan refugees. Two decades followed that witnessed what must rank as one of the great tragedies of the twentieth century, the total destruction of Tibetan civilization and culture. One of the handful of westerners to have lived in Tibet, and the representative of the British and Indian governments there, Hugh Richardson, commented in 1982: 'The Tibetans had a civilization that had developed for 1300 years. They had their own language, and they have developed a very social and very important practice of Buddhism. They are a unique people: they have their own language and their own civilization. Surely it is a tragedy to see any civilization dying, even if it is not so long established, so literate and so polished as the Tibetans.'

But in Tibet, this tragedy is not over; it continues to be repeated in terms of political oppression and the ongoing absence of human rights. Yet the reactions of the world, both at a government and individual level, have been singularly unimpressive. In 1950, when China invaded Tibet, the Tibetans appealed to the United Nations. Their appeal was shamefully blocked by the United Kingdom and India, the very two nations who should, morally, have supported them, and who forced an adjournment. The issue of Tibet was dropped in the United Nations until three resolutions were passed in 1959, 1961 and 1965, the last of which demanded 'the cessation of all practices which deprive the Tibetan people of the human rights and fundamental freedoms which they have always enjoyed.'

By modern standards, Tibet was a backward society, with some elements reminiscent of mediaeval Europe. The social framework preserved within it the Buddhist way of life which the Tibetans chose and which to them was so very precious. The Chinese 'liberation' of Tibet was not brought about by any internal force; it was thoroughly imposed, and cannot by any stretch of the imagination be considered as a genuine social transformation. 'In China the revolution grew out of the discontent of the ordinary people; in Tibet it was the ordinary people who were opposed to the Chinese.' The question remains as to whether the advantages of Chinese 'liberation', to the ordinary Tibetan, outweigh the systematic destruction of a living, thriving civilization and the annihilation of a national identity, with all its attendant loss of life and human misery. The charge which the Chinese face with respect to their rule in Tibet range from: the colonial exploitation of the Tibetan people, racial discrimination, gross inefficiency and criminal mismanagement of all Tibet's resources, and denial of human rights, to genocide. Yet in 1982 a Chinese government spokesman in the Netherlands was able, in all seriousness, to sum up the well-documented horrors of the Chinese subjugation of Tibet in the following way: 'The Chinese Liberation Army went into Tibet and was warmly welcomed by the Tibetan people and highly placed patriots. After this a democratic reform took place; the backward and cruel serfdom was abolished, millions of serfs regained freedom, and the Han people and Tibetan people began a new relationship of equality and unity, of mutual help and co-operation, of simulacrum, as the saying goes.'

China has admitted her mistakes in Tibet and indicated where she would like the blame to be seen to fall; such is the power of the Chinese propaganda machine that it would be quite possible for a western observer to relax in the comfortable assumption that the inhumanities perpetrated in Tibet were the work of a few high spirited villains and the 'Gang of Four', and that the much-heralded post-1979 policy of 'liberalization' had indeed turned a new page in Tibetan history. The Tibetans, on the other hand, have no such powerful means of presenting their case to the world. The test is that the question of Tibet as a whole has been polarized, to such an extent that merely to investigate the atrocities committed in Tibet or the Tibetan cause is to run the risk of the emotive accusation: 'anti-communist'. The extreme end of this attitude, articulated by the Chinese and their sympathizers, would have us believe that before 1959 Tibet was a 'hell on earth', and that the struggle for human rights and freedom in Tibet is nothing more than a right-wing crusade against communism headed by an 'upper strata clique'. Frequently it is also characterized as being sponsored by an imperialist regime, if possible the C.I.A., but seeking a non-existent counter-revolutionary devil behind Tibetan nationalism anyway is an inevitable hazard of an inflexible conviction in the ideological formula that nationalism equals bourgeoisie. The accounts given by Tibetans-in-exile of what is happening in Tibet are passed off as hysterical and exaggerated.

The Tibetans are now a divided people, some six million of them living in Tibet, and 100,000 in exile. The refugees are mostly peasants and herdsmen, ordinary Tibetan people, not the upper classes of the Chinese propaganda, as almost the entire ruling class was arrested by the Chinese in 1959. They still continue to arrive in India. To try to discount this, the Chinese have implemented a special '10-point' border policy of reduced work and political education, along with greater rations, in the border areas. The most striking characteristic of the Tibetans in Tibet is their intense nationalism. They share with the exiled Tibetans a common dedication to the Dalai Lama, and the refugee community alive, as well as attempting to awaken a perception in the world of the real conditions faced by the Tibetans in their homeland. It would be an even greater tragedy if Tibet were just to become the preserve of ill-informed political pundits or shrewd career-hungry journalists, for behind all the words lie the real questions of Tibet, unanswered: Are the Tibetans happy? Do they have any right to determine their lives and future? And to whom does Tibet belong? As the status of Tibet has been the subject of much discussion, and the Chinese distortion of Tibetan history is in danger of becoming a myth, it is necessary to touch upon the history of Tibet, where it concerns relations between Tibet and China. The conditions of life described in this report are, unless otherwise specified, those which prevailed in 1980, when I visited Tibet as part of the Dalai Lama's second delegation.

THE SETTING

Tibet

The area inhabited by people speaking the Tibetan language and practising Tibetan culture comprises the 'Three Provinces' of Tibet: Amdo, Kham, and U-Tsang. This area, more than 1,500 miles across and 500 miles from north to south, is composed of a plateau on average 12,000 feet above sea level, surrounded by the Himalayas, the Karakoram, Kunsul and Alaya-tagh mountain ranges. It stretches from Ladakh in the West to Dartsedo (Tachienlu) in the East. The great regional variety in climate and vegetation accounts for the variety in occupation of the inhabitants—nomads and semi-nomads in the higher, more sparsely inhabited regions, agriculturalists in the river valleys, and forest dwellers and traders.

The Tibetans are usually considered to be descendants of the nomadic, non-Chinese, Chiang tribes of eastern Central Asia. Their language belongs to the Tibet-Burman group, and their written script is derived from an Indian script of the seventh century. Tibet has now been divided into six regions by the Chinese. Central Tibet, or U-Tsang, has become the Tibet Autonomous Region. Amdo and Kham have been partitioned off into: Qinghai Province, Gansu Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture in Gansu Province, Ngapa Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture in Sichuan Province, Kanze Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture in Sichuan Province, and Dechen Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture in Yunnan Province.

The population of Tibet is about six million. It should be noted that Chinese figures and references to Tibet always refer to the Tibet Autonomous Region (TAR) only.

The period of empire and the Mongols

From the earliest written records, Tibet appears to have been ruled by a number of independent, rival chieftains, who later united under the leadership of a series of kings or 'emperors' known to history as the 'Yarlung Dynasty'. During this period, Tibetan armies overran a vast area and pressed China itself. The king Songtsen Gampo was able to demand a Chinese princess in 640 AD, who brought with her an image of Buddha that became the most sacred in the land, as it was reputed to have been made in India in the presence of Buddha himself. It was, however, during the reign of Trisong Detsen that Buddhism was firmly implanted in Tibet.

1 For notes to Part II see page 23
with the invitation of Indian scholars and masters, the translation of Indian Buddhist scriptures and the founding of the first Tibetan monastery at Samye.

Expansion continued, until Tibetans dominated Hunza, Swat, Nepal, the Himalayas, Upper Burma, and even penetrated India. They made their presence felt in Turkistan, and were in contact with the Arabs in western Central Asia. In China, Tibetans controlled Gansu and much of Sichuan and northern Yunnan, and in 763 sacked the Chinese capital of Chang'an. Tang dynasty documents and the 'war-weary' poets Po Chü-i, Li Po and Tu Fu bear witness to the protracted stretches of warfare. It is clear that during this era Tibet and China were on an equal footing, as is demonstrated in the inscription of the peace treaty concluded between them in 821-2, carved on a stone pillar in Lhasa, the Tibetan capital.

Over the next few centuries, Tibet never enjoyed any political unity, and it was probably her total lack of any united resistance that saved her from the ravages of the Mongols. In return for peace and religious freedom, the Lama of the great monastery of Sakya submitted to the Mongols on behalf of Tibet in 1244. Kublai Khan adopted his successor, Pakpa, as his spiritual teacher. Through this relationship, reinforced when Kublai became emperor of China in 1260, the predominant Lama of Tibet became the spiritual advisor of the Emperor, who protected and ensured his rule. 'Patron' and 'priest' were made to appear as the worldly counterparts of the Indian ideal of the 'Universal Ruler' and the Buddha, by virtue of which the Mongol emperors were given a Buddhist seal of approval.

It was an elastic and flexible connection, which the Tibetans used to their advantage to remain, as they had always been, independent: 'the Viceroy of the Mongol Empire in a very peculiar way. It was definitely not a part of China, nor one of its provinces.' The political relationship withered away with the end of the Yuan dynasty in 1368, and was never renewed during the Ming dynasty, although the Emperors continued to show favours to Tibetan monks and lamas. A number of lay rulers followed the religious ascendency of Sakya.

The Dalai Lamas

In 1578, the abbot of the great monastery of Drepung, Sonam Gyatso, visited Altan Khan, one of the most powerful of the Mongol chiefs, who bestowed on him the title of 'Tale' (Dalai), meaning 'Ocean [of Wisdom].' He was counted as the Third Dalai Lama. Through the intervention of yet another section of the Mongols, the Fifth Dalai Lama and their leader, Gushi Khan, became masters of Tibet in 1640, acting out once again the 'patron and priest' relationship. This Dalai Lama, the 'Great Fifth,' unified the whole of Tibet under his own authority, and ushered in a great age for Tibet. Meanwhile the Manchu ousted the Ming dynasty, and in 1652 the Dalai Lama made a state visit, as an independent and equal ruler, to the Manchu Emperor.

After the death of the Fifth Dalai Lama, Tibet was plunged into chaos on account of different Mongol factions, and the wily Manchu Emperor Kang Hsi was able to engineer a situation whereby he emerged as the liberator of Tibet, installing the Seventh Dalai Lama in Lhasa in 1720. However, Tibetan independence was maintained with the protection of the Chinese but without their interference.

From 1720, there were in Lhasa two representatives of the Emperor— the Ambans. Some of the later Chinese claims that Tibet was part of China have been based upon the institution of the Ambans. On the official Tibetan government protocol list, they only shared the ranks of the foreign dignitaries. Three times within the next years in the early nineteenth century these persons had to be discharged, and Manning observed in 1942: 'It is very bad policy, thus perpetually to send men of bad character . . .'. When Yutai, the Amban in Lhasa at the time of the Youngusband expedition in 1904 was, inevitably, impeached, it was pointed out that China was represented in Tibet by officials with bad marks against their names inscribed in the inscription of the peace treaty concluded with the Tibetans to prevent skilful intrigue. Since then to their reputation is a matter of no consequence, they hesitate at no enormity, batten on the Tibetans and embezzle Treasury Funds.' By 1904, in fact, the office of Amban was reduced to such straights that Yutai was obliged to borrow money from Youngusband, and one chronicler of the period writes: 'he might as well have been living for Liverpool all the influence he was exerting on Tibetan policy.' Huc and Gabet, two Lazarist missionaries who were amongst the very few to penetrate Tibet's isolation in the nineteenth century, observed: 'the government of Tibet resembles that of the Pope and the position occupied by the Chinese ambassadors is the same as that of the Austrian ambassador in Rome.'

The British connection

The transparency of Chinese authority in Tibet was demonstrated by the fact that although Britain signed several treaties with China over trade missions and border issues in Tibet towards the end of the nineteenth century, the Chinese had no power whatsoever to implement them against the Tibetans' will.

Fears began to grow amongst British authorities that Tibet might fall into the hands of the expanding power of Russia, with unfortunate consequences for British interests in India and the Himalayan states: 'Unless we secure the reversion of Lhasa,' wrote Lord Chelmsford, 'we will lose Tibet for ever.' The invasion of Russian forces in 1899 was an event for which Russia was well prepared: they had trained the Tibetans to implement the agreements of the Buriyat Mongol Dorzijn and his proximity to the twentieth Dalai Lama, along with rumours of a secret treaty between Russia and Tibet, aggravated suspicions. Finally Britain despatched the Youngusband expedition in 1904, which led to the signing with the Tibetans of an Anglo-Tibetan Convention. This acknowledged Tibet's ability to enter independently into international obligations.

With the Chinese revolution of 1911, whatever rights the Manchus thought they had in Tibet evaporated: Chinese troops mutinied and were subsequently repatriated. In 1912, the Dalai Lama, inspired with some awareness of international procedures from his stay in India, issued a proclamation of the independence of Tibet, and for the next thirty-eight years, Tibet did enjoy complete independence. In the following year, Tibet concluded a treaty with Mongolia, each country recognizing the other's independence and sovereignty.

The conference at Simla of 1913-14 was convened with Britain, Tibet and China as equal partners. The treaty which emerged recognized China's suzerainty over Tibet and Tibet's autonomy. When China refused to sign, and therefore to acknowledge this, Britain and Tibet concluded the treaty alone. The Simla agreement was, incidentally, tacitly recognized by the Government of India by virtue of her recognition of the 'McMahon Line' as the frontier. Tibet remained neutral in both the Sino-Japanese War and the Second World War. When the question of transporting Allied war materials through Tibet was raised, the Tibetan government demurred. The British Foreign Office observed in 1942, . . . in fact the Tibetans not only claim to be, but actually are an independent people . . .'. and Anthony Eden advised China in 1943 that Britain 'should always be prepared intelligently to recognize Chinese suzerainty over Tibet, but only on the understanding that Tibet is regarded as autonomous.' For as long as China failed to acknowledge the Simla convention, in the eyes of Britain, Tibet remained de facto independent.

In 1947, Tibet sent an independent delegation to the Asian Relations Conference in India, where the Tibetan national flag was flown. The following year a Tibetan trade delegation under Finance Minister, Choa Erh Feng, nicknamed 'the Butcher', reduced to subjection the whole of Kham by 1910, and a Chinese army marched into Lhasa in February 1910, whereupon the thirteenth Dalai Lama fled to India. This was the first Chinese army that had ever been directed against the Tibetans, and this short period the only time that China tried to impose her authority with force.

As one authority has written: 'whether a territory is independent or not depends primarily on the understanding that Tibet is regarded as autonomous.' For virtually the whole of its recorded history, Tibetans of an autonomous character. For as long as China failed to acknowledge the Simla convention, in the eyes of Britain, Tibet remained de facto independent.
of the European feudal system, and it was never clear how it applied to an Asian situation. The Chinese had never accepted it, and when they invaded Tibet in 1950, claiming Tibet as an integral part of the 'Motherland', this action was rooted in a distortion of historical facts.

The character of Tibetan society

'The one aspect of the national character that has most influenced their past and present is the devotion to religion which dominates the thoughts and actions of every Tibetan.' It would be difficult to exaggerate the importance of Buddhism for the Tibetans. They adopted the whole of the active Buddhist Church of India, and throughout their history devoted themselves to the development of the very special Tantric Buddhism to which they became the sole heirs when the Moslem invasion of India eliminated Buddhism there in the thirteenth century. 'The Tibetans, no matter to which class they belonged, were all united in their religious beliefs and subordinated their feudal system; they were more concerned with the latter than with economics.'

Every town or village had a religious centre, with its monks and Lamas - priests - varying from the enormous monastic universities towns like Drepung, Sera and Ganden, the monasteries of Lhasa which held thousands of monks each, to small temples and remote hermitages. The majority of these were well run by sincere religious practitioners, and catered for the needs of the local community. Tibetan government is unique in its dual character of secular and spiritual function. The early kings or emperors called themselves Dharma-Kings (Buddhist kings), a role that was inherited by the Saky Lamas. Under the fifth Dalai Lama, the term 'Cho Si Nyo Den' - 'Dual government of Religion and Politics' - was used to describe the twin aspects of Tibetan government, for the first time.

The system is based on the ten principles of Buddhism and the sixteen Tibetan civil laws, and is dedicated to both the temporal welfare of the people as well as their spiritual welfare in the next life. It is epitomized in the secular and spiritual authority vested in the institution of the Dalai Lama, which 'has been the most important feature of the Tibetan state in recent times'. A comparatively large number of people in Tibet were involved in spiritual practice, which meant they were physically unproductive. Some estimates, probably too high, put the number of monks at one-sixth of the male population. In a material sense, society was static in comparison to a modern western society. Tibet's complete ignorance of material well-being and comfort, her isolation and lack of awareness of international political procedures all combined to project the picture of Tibet as a remote mediaeval land existing anomalously in the twentieth century. Yet it would, of course, be unwise to make cultural parallels with a modern western democracy, many of the evils of which had no chance of existing in Tibet. Tibetan society before 1950 was a flourishing and functioning social system. Requirements were simple. Tibet had enormous resources of raw materials, its people enjoyed ample leisure time, and it was completely self-sufficient. 'Tibetan society was unique, and worthy different from the Chinese.' This underlies the Tibetan claim for independence.

'Tibet's right to a genuine state of autonomy rests upon an historical cultural background which has developed certainly over the last thousand years very differently from that of China, based as it is upon a separate language and literature, a separate form of Buddhism, a separate economy and form of government, and distinct forms of art and architecture.'

THE CHINESE INVASION

From the Chinese point of view, the Tibetans, not being of Han or Chinese origin, fall into the 6.6% of the population of the 'Motherland' described as 'Minority Nationalities'. In 1931, Mao Tse-tung had accepted the right of self-determination, complete separation, and the formation of an independent state for each nationality. In 1945, he argued that Communists should help the nationalities, 'to fight for their political, economic and cultural liberation and development...' This language written as well as spoken, their customs, traditions and religious beliefs should be respected.' Yet Mao Tse-tung was quite aware of the unique situation the Chinese faced in Tibet. When the Communists had passed through Eastern Tibet during the Long March in 1935, they had met with hostility from the Tibetans. 'In contrast to the Russian Revolution in which peoples other than Great Russians played a significant role, the revolution in China was a purely Han Chinese affair.'

Mao observed in 1952 in his 'On the Policies for our work in Tibet' that '...conditions in Tibet are different from those in Sinkiang and that... neither rent reduction nor agrarian reform can start for at least two or three years. While several thousand Han people live in Sinkiang, there are hardly any in Tibet... we must do our best and take proper steps to win over the Dalai and the majority of his top echelon and to isolate the handful of bad elements in order to achieve a gradual, bloodless transformation of the Tibetan economic and political system over a number of years.' After all, he advocated a gradual approach to reform.

In 1950, the People's Liberation Army entered Tibet and routed the Tibetan army at Chamdo. At this point, Tibet appealed to the United Nations: Britain and India moved that the subject of Tibet be referred, and it was adjourned. Negotiations in Tibet were conducted through Ngabo, the captured governor of Chamdo, still the leading Chinese puppet in Tibet, and currently Chairman of the Standing Committee of the People's Congress of the Tibet Autonomous Region. As a result, Tibet was obliged to take part in talks in Peking which led to the notorious 'Seventeen Point Agreement' - for the peaceful liberation of Tibet. The conditions under which this treaty was signed were shameful. The Tibetan delegates were given a blank choice - to sign the document or face war. They were prevented from seeking the Dalai Lama's advice, and even their official seals were facsimiles, made in Peking. Mao Tse-tung was to write: 'apparently not only the two Prime Ministers but also the Dalai and most of his clique were reluctant to accept the agreement.'

The Agreement pledged 'national regional autonomy', and clauses that were worthy of note are: No.4 - 'The central authorities will not alter the existing political system in Tibet,... will not alter the established status, function, and powers of the Dalai Lama'; No.7 - 'The policy of freedom of religious belief laid down in the common programme of the CPPCC shall be carried out. The religious beliefs, customs and habits of the Tibetan people shall be respected, and the monasteries shall be protected'; and No.9 - 'the spoken and written language and school education of the Tibetan nationality shall be developed...'

In 1956 the Dalai Lama travelled to India, where he met Nehru and Chou-En-lai, who assured him that Tibetan autonomy would be respected and 'reforms' would not be forced on Tibet. The following year, Mao in 'On Correct Handling of Contradictions amongst the People' announced the decision to postpone 'reforms' in Tibet 'during the Second Five Year Period'.

The national uprising

'Democratic reforms', however, had already started in some parts of Kham and Amdo - the East of Tibet - as early as 1952-53. The Chinese there tried, as elsewhere, to orchestrate a class struggle. More roads were built, with the aid of large numbers of Chinese workers. In order to feed them, the Chinese started to 'borrow' and then to buy stocks of food, causing severe inflation. Taxes were imposed, and confiscations and executions followed. The guerrilla movement that started in 1953 became widespread, although it had no organization. Vast numbers of Chinese settlers started to be brought into the Chamdo area, and the Chinese made the fatal move of trying to disarm the Khampas. By 1954, a number of atrocities had been perpetrated by the Chinese. In places, those who resisted the Chinese were rounded up, labelled as 'reactionaries and serf-owners' and were publicly executed. For example, in the small town of Doi in Amdo, out of five hundred so-called 'serf-owners', three hundred persons were shot in the back of the head in 1953 before a horrified crowd, who were then told that such would be their fate if they opposed socialism. In August and September 1954, the New York Times and the Guardian reported that 40,000 'farmers' had taken part in an uprising in south-east Tibet.

Meanwhile, as early as 1952, the 'People's Movement'. 'Mimang Tsongdu', an underground organization was active in Lhasa and the first Tibetan National Party appeared, which spearheaded massive demonstrations. Poster campaigns were carried out, demanding that the Chinese leave Tibet. The 'Mimang Tsongdu' was officially dissolved and its ringleaders imprisoned.

Since 1957, the Chinese had been taking Tibetan children to study in the Minority Nationalities' Institutes in such places as Peking
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Great advances have been made in industry, and by 1976 there were said to be 252 industries in Tibet. For example, in Ngapa there is a dairy plant that produces tinned dried milk, the leather factories in Kanze and Ngapa produce shoes, bags and leather jackets; a woollen mill at Dartsedo and Nyntri produce good quality woollen fabric. However, they all have three elements in common, which they share with other industries in Tibet. Firstly, all their products are sent to China, and even as far as Hong Kong and Nepal for sale. In official language, they are 'offered to the State'. Secondly, the majority of Tibetans would not be able to afford to buy what they produce. Thirdly, practically the whole workforce, a 75-80% or more, is Chinese. Chinese immigrants hold all the vital posts, with only the heavy jobs, like porters and labourers, being reserved for Tibetans.43

Another industry that has made great steps forward is the lumber industry. In 1950, the estimated total of forest timber resources in Kham was more than 5.3 million cubic feet; the Pome area alone contained 13,300 square miles of virgin forest. Now, in the Ngapa and Dechen areas, over 65,000 people are employed in the lumber industry, and the rivers are thick with wood, day and night, being exported to China. Vast areas have been deforested, and another of the world's natural forests is fast disappearing. During December 1977, the output of coal in the Tibet Autonomous Region was 87,440 tonnes. Radio Lhasa reported in 1981 a major geological survey had been carried out in this plateau, account for a very rare, and including uranium and plutonium.44 Refugees report large-scale mineral exports to China, and the employment of Tibetans in chrome mines.

Agriculture and health

In agriculture there has been progress, in that uncultivated areas have been opened up, irrigation has been made more widespread, and fields have been made more regular. Produce has definitely increased on account of the more intensive working of the land. However, all produce goes to the commune, and the people are given ration cards indicating the amount of grain they can earn by working, through a points system. The official Chinese statement is that people receive a ration of between 550 and 900 Ib. (gyama) of grain each per annum. Enquiries in hundreds of households in the second delegation's visit proved that this was not the case. Once grain is harvested, the ration for food, seed and animal fodder is subtracted, then the 6% 'Love the Nation' tax. Then 50% is withdrawn as 'Surplus Grain Sales' by the State, and bought at 3.08 yuan per 28 lb. Of the remaining 50%, famine prevention, war production, and 'construction brigades' both on and off the plateau, account for a great deal. The remainder is distributed according to workpoints amongst commune members. The price given by the state for 28 lb. of wheal, i.e. one whole month's food ration, is less than the cost of thirty cigarettes in the Chinese-controlled commune shop.45 Wheat is grown instead of the traditional barley, as Chinese eat wheat in the central Tibetan counties. When a herd of sheep is immunized, the costs 45 yuan.

The close control of food severely restricts the mobility of the Tibetans, as they are tied to their ration cards in order to survive. People attempting to go on pilgrimages, for instance, have their cards confiscated, and upon their return, if they can get their card back, will be penalized, and may only receive half rations. In 1980, Losang Tseten, one of the Tham's Vice-Chairmen in the Tibet Autonomous Region, admitted that Tibet, once a country, was now merely a 'state', totally self-sufficient, now had to import more than 30,000 tons of food a year.46

Hospitals have been built in larger towns in Tibet, which in general cater for Chinese or Tibetan officials. Ordinary Tibetans have to pay for medical treatment if they can get it. 'Barefoot doctors' are very common. Armed with syringes and pills, they have hardly any training, and have been known to cause deaths and hair-raising incidents. One of these was witnessed by the Dalai Lama's second delegation, when their interpreter had to be hospitalized as a result of a series of wrong treatments.

Education

Chinese official figures in 1980 put the number of schools and students in Tibet at 431 primary schools with 17,000 students, 55 middle schools with 10,000 students, 6,000 schools started by the people with 200,000 students, 22 high schools with 2,000 students, and 4 colleges with more than 560 students.47 The Dalai Lama's third delegation to Tibet was composed of specialists in the field of education. They were able to visit eighty-five schools. In many places they were informed that the schools were 'closed for the summer', elsewhere a fake nomad school was staged just for their benefit, and another school was 'closed for dinner' at 10.00 a.m. with all its classrooms stacked with timber. Even from the official figures, of the schools visited, out of a total of 39,844 students, only 17,660 were Tibetans - 44%. Of the 2,979 teachers, 1,955 were Chinese - almost 70%.

In Kham, no Tibetan is taught at all; in Central Tibet it is taught for up to three years in primary schools only, and then only as a vehicle for communist ideology. This latter forms the main subject at all levels, along with Chinese and some maths and science. Primary schools exist in towns, but the striking number of children between the ages of seven and fifteen working in fields or on the roads would argue against there being any in rural areas.48 For higher education, there are the 'Minorities' Institutes', all in China. Dawa Tsering is a Tibetan who studied Marxist-Leninist thought in exile and went voluntarily to Tibet and China, where he studied in the Landou Minorities' Institute.49 He found that the majority of the Tibetans there were simply carrying studying Chinese language, the science faculty was restricted entirely to Chinese, and that the Minorities' Institute could not 'compare with schools of higher learning in the outside world, of even with those in China proper'. When the second delegation asked to meet Tibetans who had been given university education, the Chinese were unable to produce any. In 1979, China sent 55,000 Chinese abroad for further education, but not a single Tibetan.

The main aim of education in Tibet is quite clearly to sinicize the population and make them familiar with political dogma. The result is that the disappearance of the written language is almost accomplished, and in many places correct, spoken Tibetan cannot be understood. There are newspapers, carrying articles on Chinese current affairs and a commentary on life in Tibet, but they are only available to Tibetan officers. Most books in Tibet are direct translations of Chinese propaganda, and in school libraries, Tibetan magazines, newspapers or books are virtually non-existent. For example, when the Dalai Lama's second delegation visited the library of the Nomadic and Agricultural School in Kongo Nyintri in 1980, they saw thirty-two newspapers, only one of which was in Tibetan. Of the 120 magazines, none were in Tibetan. This is a school for Tibetans, yet out of the thousands of books in the library, the only ones the delegates saw in Tibetan were a few dictionaries and the Thoughts of Chairman Mao.

Recently a number of Tibetan classics have been printed, such as The Epic of Gesar, The Elegant Sayings of Sakya Pandita and The Life of Milarepa. China's leading Tibetologist, Wang Yang, introducing the life-story of Tibet's greatest poet and saint, Milarepa, rises to the occasion by describing the Buddhist references it contains as 'baseless nonsense' and contesting that the aim behind the whole work is to expose the evils of the Old Society.49 In 1982, a completely new periodical, Young Sun, was announced, which
would contain ... Marxist-Leninist-Mao thought teachings, the Four basic principles, the Party's nationalities policy, general knowledge on science, basic political theory ... "

Following this trend, all place names in Tibet have been replaced by Chinese names, and parents are obliged not to give their children Tibetan names. There are now many children born after the mother or father's age at birth, e.g., '7%' or '42'.

It is difficult to find any evidence that the thrust of education in Tibet is intended to benefit the Tibetans. Even Radio Lhasa announces the dismal quality of teaching in Tibetan schools. When recently a number of Tibetan exile teachers volunteered to return to Tibet to work in the schools, the project was rebuffed by the Chinese.

Religion

Between 3,000 and 4,000 monasteries and religious monuments existed in Tibet, of which parts of only thirteen remain. The Chinese explain that their destruction was carried out during the Cultural Revolution and was the work of the Tibetan people. However, exhaustive enquiries by the delegations to Tibet, and independent refugee evidence, demonstrate conclusively that most monasteries were destroyed between 1959 and 1961, and only the larger, more famous ones remained to be torn down during the Cultural Revolution. For example, in 1959 itself, five out of the six monasteries in the Rungphatsa area of Kham, my own birthplace, had been demolished.

This destruction was carried out systematically: First of all, special teams of mineralogists were sent to religious buildings to locate and extract all the precious stones. Next, metallurgists arrived and marked all metal objects which were subsequently removed. Then, truckloads of medical army separators, the wood and pillars were dynamited, and all the wooden beams and pillars were taken away. Clay images were destroyed in the hope of finding precious objects inside them. Finally, whatever remained - bits of wood and stone - were removed by local people.

In Lhasa, Shigatse and Gyantse, temples do remain. The Jokhang (Central Cathedral) in Lhasa, used for years as a pigsty and PLA barracks, has been partially renovated. These three sites are showpieces on the official Chinese tour for journalists and visitors to Tibet. Just as in mediaeval Europe, the monasteries were treasure-houses of culture, preserving the works of art and scriptures that were the cultural heritage of the thousand-year-old history of Buddhism in Tibet. The bonfire made out of ancient books in the temple at Lhasa lasted for four days. But many of the more portable objects found their way to the antique markets of Hong Kong and Nepal.

The Constitution of the People's Republic of China states that: 'Citizens enjoy freedom to believe in religion and freedom not to believe in religion and to propagate atheism' (Article 46). By not permitting citizens to propagate religion, the Constitution has legitimized the suppression of any activity which can be construed as furthering religion. Yet it is evident that Tibetans will not abandon their Buddhist faith. Journalists report in 1983 how Tibetans flock to the Jokhang in Lhasa, which is now open to the public, At Ganden, against much opposition and with penalties imposed by the Chinese, groups of persons have started to reconstruct a temple in the great monastic university. Young Tibetans have repaired a temple at Bara Luphu, now known as 'the Youth Temple'. Both these projects have now been adopted by the Chinese as shining examples of their policy of freedom of religion.

In 1980, the Basic Study Guide No.55 produced for the Communist Party, Youth League and officers, plainly set out the official view on religion: 'We have to stop religion in that it is blind faith, against the law, and counter-revolutionary ...' It adds 'although our constitution allows people to have the right to religion, it should be abundantly clear that Communist Party and Youth Organization members do not have the right to practise religion'. In February 1982 another booklet for party members underlined a number of destructive or unconstitutional acts incompatible with modern revolutionary socialism, such as public religious gatherings and 'poisoning the younger generation with religious and superstition beliefs'. Radio Lhasa in March 1982 was to add: 'Communists never conceal their political viewpoints and openly declare their belief in materialism and atheism and not in any god or saviour'; therefore, 'they must all become ardent atheists', and 'partymen have the responsibility to propagate materialism and atheism by all means possible'.

Underlying the need for the Chinese to reiterate such statements at such a date is the plain fact that the Tibetan people, and this includes even Communist Party members, will not give up their faith, whatever the cost. At one point Social and Security Law passed in Lhasa in July 1981 made a punishable offence the keeping of photos of Lamas, the making and selling of small religious statues or pills blessed by Lamas, and 'unproductive movement of people'. Today, it seems that the Chinese are uncertain how to proceed. On the one hand, photographs of the Dalai Lama are confiscated whenever possible, and religious activity is discouraged, whilst on the other the Chinese promise religious freedom.

Racial equality?

The two most important bodies in the 'government of Tibet' are the Communist Party Committee and the People's Government, in which the top figures and most important functionaries are all Chinese. Administrative authority is entirely in the hands of the Chinese; as one Tibetan comments: 'In 1965 the Tibet Autonomous Region was established. The extent of autonomy enjoyed by the Tibetans could be measured by the absence of Tibetans in positions of power.' In 1980 seven of the Vice-Chairmen were Chinese and only 40% of all cadres were Tibetan, mostly holding lower positions.

The only body that is Tibetan is the curious Political Consultative Committee. Consisting of former aristocrats, Lamas and local leaders, they brought out to meet and exchange pleasant words with visiting dignitaries, and serve to demonstrate how people of any class background have a role to play in the New Tibet. A person's class has to be entered on his ration card, along with his name, date and place of birth. That means that a child of eight has to be categorized into one class or another. The qualifications for making progress in life and becoming an officer are 'right class' and 'right attitude'. Neither administrative nor educational are taken into account. 'Right class' means proletariat, and 'right attitude' means an unflinching devotion to Communist Party dogma and the central government line.

From the age of seven, Tibetan children will face the experience of the institutionalized discrimination that the Tibetans suffer at the hands of the Chinese. Young people will see that in whatever job they get, they are subordinate to a Chinese, and that Chinese are given priority in any competition for employment. Unskilled labour in agriculture or on the roads is always provided by Tibetans, who are made to work under gruelling conditions, while Chinese dominate the workforce in offices and factories, and hold all the better jobs. The Chinese eat different food from the Tibetans and earn higher wages. New housing that is constructed in Tibet is reserved for Chinese and Tibetan officers.

Tibetans are discouraged from learning their own languages as it is a positive handicap in getting work, only Chinese being of any use. 'Tibetan words for 'Tibet' and 'China', 'Tibetan' and 'Chinese'. even 'history', have been suppressed and replaced by words that play down Tibet's separation from China and cultural integrity, which belittle historical traditions. Music and dance have been largely sincinized. Alongside their disrespect for the Tibetans, the Chinese have manifested a cynical lack of concern for Tibet; one ecological blunder follows another. Visiting Tibetans notice particularly the complete absence of any of the wild animals that used to thrive in Tibet, from herds of deer to pigeons: this is the result of the Chinese campaign against 'useless' animals.

In short, anything Tibetan - ways of thinking, habits, dress and so on, or anything that smacks of a Tibetan way of life, is stigmatized, and anything Chinese is lauded as progressive. Discriminated against at every turn. Tibetans are condemned to be second-class citizens, living in shame in their own country, and it is very difficult for them to see the Chinese policy towards them as anything but one of apartheid and out-and-out racism.

The ultimate origin of this discrimination is the so-called 'Great Han Chauvinism'. In 1953 China had reanimation, nor education are taken into account. 'Right class' means proletariat, and 'right attitude' means an unflinching devotion to Communist Party dogma and the central government line.

The two most important bodies in the 'government of Tibet' are the Communist Party Committee and the People's Government, in which the top figures and most important functionaries are all Chinese. Administrative authority is entirely in the hands of the Chinese; as one Tibetan comments: 'In 1965 the Tibet Autonomous Region was established. The extent of autonomy enjoyed by the Tibetans could be measured by the absence of Tibetans in positions of power.' In 1980 seven of the Vice-Chairmen were Chinese and only 40% of all cadres were Tibetan, mostly holding lower positions.

The only body that is Tibetan is the curious Political Consultative Committee. Consisting of former aristocrats, Lamas and local leaders, they brought out to meet and exchange pleasant words with visiting dignitaries, and serve to demonstrate how people of any class background have a role to play in the New Tibet. A person's class has to be entered on his ration card, along with his name, date and place of birth. That means that a child of eight has to be categorized into one class or another. The qualifications for making progress in life and becoming an officer are 'right class' and 'right attitude'. Neither administrative nor educational are taken into account. 'Right class' means proletariat, and 'right attitude' means an unflinching devotion to Communist Party dogma and the central government line.

From the age of seven, Tibetan children will face the experience of the institutionalized discrimination that the Tibetans suffer at the hands of the Chinese. Young people will see that in whatever job they get, they are subordinate to a Chinese, and that Chinese are given priority in any competition for employment. Unskilled labour in agriculture or on the roads is always provided by Tibetans, who are made to work under gruelling conditions, while Chinese dominate the workforce in offices and factories, and hold all the better jobs. The Chinese eat different food from the Tibetans and earn higher wages. New housing that is constructed in Tibet is reserved for Chinese and Tibetan officers.

Tibetans are discouraged from learning their own languages as it is a positive handicap in getting work, only Chinese being of any use. 'Tibetan words for 'Tibet' and 'China', 'Tibetan' and 'Chinese', even 'history', have been suppressed and replaced by words that play down Tibet's separation from China and cultural integrity, which belittle historical traditions. Music and dance have been largely sincinized. Alongside their disrespect for the Tibetans, the Chinese have manifested a cynical lack of concern for Tibet; one ecological blunder follows another. Visiting Tibetans notice particularly the complete absence of any of the wild animals that used to thrive in Tibet, from herds of deer to pigeons: this is the result of the Chinese campaign against 'useless' animals.

In short, anything Tibetan - ways of thinking, habits, dress and so on, or anything that smacks of a Tibetan way of life, is stigmatized, and anything Chinese is lauded as progressive. Discriminated against at every turn. Tibetans are condemned to be second-class citizens, living in shame in their own country, and it is very difficult for them to see the Chinese policy towards them as anything but one of apartheid and out-and-out racism.

The ultimate origin of this discrimination is the so-called 'Great Han Chauvinism'. In 1953 China had reanimation, nor education are taken into account. 'Right class' means proletariat, and 'right attitude' means an unflinching devotion to Communist Party dogma and the central government line.
nations as being inferior and intrinsically subordinate to China. 

From time immemorial, the Chinese have considered themselves as superior to all other peoples and have called all others "barbarians". This attitude reached its absurd conclusion when the Emperor Chien Lung wrote to George II addressing him as a "troublesome and devout supplicant", encouraging him to obey imperial instructions. The Papacy, Holland, Portugal and Russia were all considered "tributaries" by the Ch'ing dynasty.

One outstanding characteristic of this assumption of superiority is that it is very deeply embedded in the Chinese mind, and therefore largely unconscious and not susceptible to reform. Today the Chinese make no secret of their cultural superiority, and African students in China frequently complain of the crudest racial abuse.

**The Chinese presence – civilian and military**

From the mid-1950s, China announced her intention to settle 40,000 farmers in Tibet, and after 1959, the size of the Chinese population spiralled rapidly. Administrators, technicians and PLA troops arrived in constant stream. Radio Lhasa was continually announcing the arrival of new settlers between 1975 and 1976. In 1979, figures given to journalists visiting Tibet put the number of Chinese civilians in Tibet at just 120,000. In 1980, the population of Lhasa was given as 120,000 – 50,000 Tibetans and 70,000 Chinese.

Generally Chinese are settled in the warmer and more salubrious parts of Tibet, and in some areas they outnumber the Tibetans. They make no attempt to learn Tibetan: the Dalai Lama’s second delegation met only two Chinese who could speak Tibetan during their visits in Tibet. Chinese administrative officials clearly see Tibet as a ‘hardship post’. The enormous number of Chinese immigrants has been a major contribution towards the shortages of food in Tibet.

There are now reckoned to be half a million PLA troops in Tibet, with a sizeable garrison in each district and concentrations in the border areas like Pemako and Metok Dzong. There are nine military airfields, eleven radar stations and three nuclear bases. Motorable dirt and gravel roads have been made all over Tibet, the only tarmac stretch by 1980 being that between Yangpachen and Lhasa. These roads are used almost exclusively by the military, and have indeed been dubbed by the local Tibetans ’military roads’. During their three and a half month stay, the Dalai Lama’s second delegation saw only fifteen vehicles carrying Tibetans, whereas every day they saw Chinese trucks, mostly military, sometimes a hundred in the space of one hour.

The Chinese have always used Tibetans in the PLA, both in the Indian border dispute of 1962 and in the Sino-Vietnamese war. In the latter war, many hundreds of Tibetans persisted, especially from the Kham area. Their families are awarded a placard ‘Honoured by the State’ to hang above their door.

Tibet has become China’s largest Intercontinental Ballistic Missile Base, with the major centres of population and industry in India, as well as the area of the Indian Ocean, within range of the missiles sited in Tibet. In 1982, it was reported that nuclear tests had been carried out in several areas, as a result of which large numbers of Tibetans had to be evacuated at short notice. For instance, after a test on 15 May 1982 at Lop Nor, Tibetans were taken to Peking and Chinese officials admitted severe atmospheric pollution following the test.

**Political oppression**

Everyday life in Tibet is still conditioned by a constant fear of accidentally giving cause to accusations of reviving reactionary behaviour or of speaking too freely to the wrong person who might turn out to be an informer. No description of political oppression in Tibet would be complete without special mention of the *thamsing* or ‘struggle session’, a form of torture that is applied along with interrogation and has been one of the most ubiquitous features of the new Tibet. Anyone in an ‘unfavourable’ category – that can mean anyone at all – is beaten and tortured by his fellows, relatives or peers, who are forced to participate on pain of undergoing *thamsing* themselves. A large proportion of victims have in the past died from them, although wherever possible, a slow death is ensured by reviving them after each session. The aims of *thamsing* are two: the creation of a ‘class’ system between victims and aggressors, and the spreading of widespread intimidation, mutual guilt and a general atmosphere of fear amongst the ordinary people. *Thamsing* is a particularly sophisticated and efficient fashion of inspiring terror, and fear of it has been one of the principal factors behind the enormous number of well-documented suicides amongst Tibetans – sometimes numbering whole families.

Prisons and labour camps are another familiar feature of Tibet today. Untold numbers of Tibetans have ‘disappeared’ by being worked to death in such camps, particularly in the 1960s. Sentence to a labour camp is accorded without trial or vestige of human rights, and is, more often than not, tantamount to a death sentence. Violent political indoctrination sessions are a constant element of prison life. Over 10,000 persons died between 1960 and 1965, in the notorious Drapchi prison in Lhasa.

Dr. Tenzin Chodak, classed as a dangerous prisoner as he had been physician to the Dalai Lama, was incarcerated in a prison at Chiujin, near the border of Inner Mongolia, in 1959. When he arrived at the prison, mostly populated by Chinese, he found that of the 300 Tibetan prisoners there in 1957, only two remained alive. ‘Hard work coupled with meagre rations and subhuman conditions of work soon caused physical deterioration’, he wrote. Amidst famine, ‘we lost our sense of shame and dignity, . . . we ate ropes, leather bags, and anything we could lay our hands on . . . the men in our prison ate rats, frogs and some prisoners ate even the worms that were found in excreta . . . A seventeen-year-old Chinese youth killed his mother in order to get the four kilos of barley she had in her safety deposit. Another killed an eight-year-old boy and ate him . . . ’ Within three years, two-thirds of the Tibetans imprisoned there were dead.

In 1979, *Time* magazine reported on a ‘vast prison system’ existing in Qinghai, formerly Amdo. An American imprisoned there for two-and-a-half years believed that half the province’s estimated four million inhabitants are either prisoners or ‘forced employees’ there. Three large ‘labour reform camps’, along with scores, even hundreds, of smaller prison camps, make up what the former prisoner maintains is only one of a number of Chinese prison systems.

A 1979 estimate puts the number of Tibetans still in prison at 80,000, which may be conservative. No western journalists or missions have been allowed to visit any of them, and, with the paucity of detailed information available, little has been done by any Western human rights organizations. This is in vivid contrast to the situation which prevails for Russian ‘prisoners of conscience’, one British authority in 1983 claimed to have one thousand ‘very well-documented cases’. Amnesty International, for example, have only recognized two cases, in Ngari and Sangyip prisons.

Recently, however, they were able to secure the release, for the time being, of a woman called Lhamo, who was arrested in 1979 for shouting, ‘Tibet is independent’, during the visit of the Dalai Lama’s first delegation to Tibet. Subjected to electric shock treatment, she was reduced to the state of a vegetable, and her son, imprisoned with her, was tortured and maimed.

There are a number of vigorous underground resistance movements in Tibet, composed largely of younger people, and including members from all strata of society. A number of their posters and handbills have passed out of Tibet. Their target is not political independence, but the Chinese themselves, and they demand the restoration of independence, under the leadership of the Dalai Lama.

Unrest continued in Tibet all through the 1960s, and the demonstration of young people that led to 61 persons being shot, bayoneted and clubbed in the main temple in Lhasa in 1968, was not officially. In 1977, 20,000 Tibetans rose against the Chinese in Tsholho, south of Kokonor, and many were killed and injured. Yin Fatang, the First Secretary of the Committee of the Chinese Communist Party in the Tibet Autonomous Region, reported to the Party Representatives Conference in Lhasa in 1981 that ‘widespread serious unrest, including sabotage, along with popular resistance’, had broken out in Tibet. In May 1982, 115 people were arrested in Shигatse, not on political charges, but ostensibly for indulging in decadent Western ways.

It would be difficult to overestimate the immense psychological damage done during the destruction of Tibetan society, and by the atmosphere of suspicion that still pervades life in Tibet. Even when
visiting India from Tibet, Tibetans perpetuate their old habit of looking right and left to check if they are being watched, before engaging in conversation.

**A Tibetan genocide**

In the wake of the events of 1959, two reports were issued by the International Commission of Jurists, which first raised the charge of genocide and specified that human rights had been violated in sixteen ways, including murder, rape, torture, destruction of family life, and deportation. These atrocities and sufferings fill the accounts of refugees, and no Tibetan family is free of the memory of this tragedy.

"Parents had to witness their sons being murdered, and if they failed to smile and clap and thank the Chinese for killing their own children they too would be considered to be disobedient. The Chinese would place a gun to their head. It is said that in the same way, even young innocent children were made to see their parents dragged through the streets, beaten and stoned and finally executed for having served the former government or for having been the descendants of age-old landowners."

The Dalai Lama himself stated that between 1955 and 1959, 65,000 Tibetans were killed and at least 10,000 children were deported. The Chinese-controlled Radio Lhasa announced on 1 October 1960 that in the first year following the 1959 uprising, 87,000 Tibetans were executed in Central Tibet alone. A pooled eye-witness report confirms that, within a period of 17 days in 1966, in and around Lhasa, 69,000 were executed. (In 17 days, the Chinese thus killed more than the Nazis killed in the whole of their four-and-a-half year occupation of France.) Five hundred Tibetan youths demonstrating in the name of Tibet freedom were executed in Tongren, in Central Tibet, in 1972. The Gansu railway construction and Qinghai borax mines had accounts of the lives of at least 23,419 Tibetans before 1980. Precise figures do exist for the six districts of Golok in Kham, East Tibet. In 1957, the population of Golok was 120,000. Between 1958 and 1962, 21,000 local Tibetans were killed fighting the PLA, 20,000 more were executed in local prisons, and a further 20,000 died of starvation as a result of famine. In 1962, 53,000 persons were deported and simply ‘disappeared’. Of the original population, only 6,000 remained, and between 1963 and 1979 these were reduced to 4,700. New settlers were brought in to increase the population in 1979 to 10,000, these persons being composed of 2,500 Chinese and 2,500 ‘non-Chinese’.

For as long as the Tibetans prove resistant to sincerization and yearn for freedom of religious belief and for true autonomy, they will have to be controlled and policed by the Chinese. This gives much cause for concern. China has already demonstrated her capacity to take lives—the quality of totalitarianism that is at the heart of the genocide. The Tibetans’ stubborn determination to cling on to their Buddhist faith and their hopes for freedom must inevitably continue to provoke confrontation and create grounds for this process to be perpetuated.

**Who is to blame?**

Reviewing its history since its founding, the Chinese Communist Party made the following admission in 1981: ‘In the past, particularly during the “Cultural Revolution”, we made a grave mistake on the question of nationalism, the mistake of widening the class struggle, and we wronged a large number of cadres and masses of the minority nationalities. In our work among them, we did not show due respect for their right to autonomy. We must never forget this lesson. It is imperative to continue to implement the policy of freedom of religious belief for all.’

China is no stranger to the art of admitting failure, blaming the past on a scapegoat, and sidestepping the whole issue. During the Cultural Revolution, blame was firmly laid upon Deng Xiaoping for the 1959 Lhasa Uprising. In due course, Lin Biao and the Gang of Four were themselves held to blame for the orgy of destruction in Tibet. But, as we have seen, the destructions of the monasteries, for example, predate the Cultural Revolution. Tibetans in Tibet have no good reason to suppose that there will not always be a ready supply of scapegoats to burden with the Chinese Communist Party’s failure. There is universal suspicion every time party policy turns a new corner, and never so much as with the much-vaunted policy of liberalization announced by Hua Guofeng. The second delegation to Tibet encountered widespread doubt even amongst Communist Party officers as to whether this is a serious long-term policy at all, and no-one likes to appear enthusiastic in putting it into practice for fear of being denounced if the tide should turn again. Lack of initiative itself is then blamed on ‘... the residual poisonous influence of the Gang of Four’.

Deng Xiaoping’s own yoyo-like career itself mirrors the endemic weakness of China’s ruling regime, plagued by the ‘insatiable appetite for revenge’ which has been described as ‘China’s greatest weakness’. The ancient fear of sedition and disunity in China has always made heterodox ideas seem like treason and subversion. Some see China’s continual turnover of persons and policies as having hampered any real progress in her development.

**TIBETANS IN EXILE**

**The Dalai Lama**

As the spiritual and temporal leader of the Tibetan people, His Holiness Tenzin Gyatso, the fourteenth Dalai Lama has been the key figure both in the renaissance of Tibetan civilization in exile in India and in keeping alive, in a wider context, the whole question of Tibet. In 1963, he drew up a Draft Constitution for Tibet, in which is embodied a democratic form of government, and upon the basis of which his government-in-exile has been functioning, with elected representatives taking the major role in the government. The Dalai Lama takes no conventional ideological standpoint, but considers that ‘original Marxism and Mahayana Buddhism, despite many differences, also have many points in common. The foremost is the emphasis on the common good of society... Buddhist theory is not sufficient by itself for full socio-economic policy in this or the next century: it can take many points from Marxist, socialist and democratic systems. Similarly, those systems can benefit from many points in Buddhist theory, especially in terms of the development of socially beneficial attitudes. Such a partnership would help millions of people.’

Although his government-in-exile is not recognized by any world government, the presence of the Dalai Lama and the 100,000 Tibetan refugees in exile is of acute embarrassment to the Chinese. Whilst he was at first denounced by the Communist regime, the Dalai Lama has over recent years been invited back to Tibet, Yin Fatang, First Secretarv of the Committee of the Chinese Communist Party in the Tibet Autonomous Region, has publicly appealed to the Dalai Lama and Tibetans in exile to return to visit or settle in Tibet. The presence of the Dalai Lama would both legitimize the existence of Chinese rule in Tibet, and defuse active resistance outside Tibet and hopes inside Tibet for autonomy. But it is questionable whether, in view of the almost fanatical dedication shown towards him by the Tibetans, the Chinese could take the risk that his presence would fan Tibetan nationalism, and it is unlikely that they could do anything other than keep him as a powerless figurehead in Peking. In fact, the Tibetans in Tibet send messages urging him not to return, for this very reason.

In this regard, it is interesting to observe the Chinese reactions to the Dalai Lama’s activities abroad. They have always tried to intervene when they have feared that he might have made a political statement on foreign tours. But when he visited Mongolia and Europe in 1982, the Chinese tried to obstruct his freedom of movement and speech even on what was avowedly a cultural and religious visit. As well as applying pressure at an official, governmental level, they indulged in a series of desperate and undignified attempts to intimidate airport officials and Buddhist organizations. This apparently earnest desire to limit his activity in any sphere does not augur particularly well for the Dalai Lama’s freedom or position were he to accept the equally enthusiastic invitation of the Chinese to return to Tibet.

The Dalai Lama is adamant that his own future is not the main point. His stand all along has been that ‘If those six million Tibetans there are really happy and contented, we shall be perfectly prepared to return to Tibet. And accept whatever status the majority of them are prepared to grant us. But first it should be established to the total satisfaction of all Tibetans in exile that the Tibetans in Tibet are completely satisfied with their lot. This is the only prerequisite.’

**The Tibetan community in exile**

The Tibetan Community in exile is administered by the Kashag—the Council of Ministers—and the Assembly of Tibetan People’s
Deputies, the democratically-elected body introduced by the Dalai Lama. Located in Dharamsala, North India, the Tibetan government supervises a number of departments and councils, amongst which are a Council for Religious and Cultural Affairs, a Council for Home Affairs, a Council for Tibetan Education and an 'Information Office of H.H. the Dalai Lama'. There are a number of offices that represent the Dalai Lama and his government: one in New Delhi, and others in New York, London, Switzerland, Japan and Nepal.

The majority of the estimated 100,000 Tibetans in exile live in India, and in settlements placed at their disposal by the Indian government. There are 38 settlements in India, Nepal and Sikkim, and other refugees have settled in Bhutan. The Central Tibetan Schools Administration looks after a number of schools ranging from pre-primary school to the Tibetan Central Residential and Day Schools. These provide a modern education in a Tibetan educational environment.

Several institutions for education and culture have been founded. The Library of Tibetan Works and Archives in Dharamsala has a museum and archive collection, offers seminars and publishes translations. Tibet House in New Delhi has a museum, library and emporium. The Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies in Sarnath teaches both Tibetan Buddhist philosophy and modern subjects. The Tibetan Medical Centre at Dharamsala trains medical students in traditional medicine and has hospital and research facilities. The Tibetan Welfare Delek Hospital at Dharamsala is a medical hospital with a special programme for eliminating tuberculosis, to which Tibetans are particularly susceptible. The Tibetan Institute for Performing Arts, a training institute of professional standard in Tibetan drama, opera and dance, has a troupe that tours throughout the world.

The largest Tibetan community outside India and the Himalayas is in Switzerland, where, with the aid of the Swiss Red Cross, 1,500 Tibetans have settled. Canada has the next largest community, 400 persons. In contrast with the refugees in India, who are employed largely in agriculture, the majority of the Tibetans in these large groups in the west work in various industries. Otherwise, smaller groups of Tibetans are scattered around the world in various cities. Tibetan community organizations have been formed in Switzerland, Canada, Britain, France, Sweden, Germany, Holland and the United States. They attempt to bring the plight of the Tibetan people to the attention of the public, and to remember their national culture by celebrating the major Tibetan festivals during the year. Many Tibetans have now received university education in India, Japan or in the West, and are consequently trained as doctors, teachers, accountants and the like. Several of them hold senior posts in university departments.

Some recent Impressions

In 1980, the second and third fact-finding delegations visited Tibet. When foreign journalists witnessed a spontaneous outcry from a crowd, such as the delegations experienced all over Tibet, shouting their familiar slogans, 'Tibet is independent', and 'Long live the Dalai Lama', the Chinese abruptly curtailed the second delegation's visit. The projected fourth and fifth delegations have not as yet taken place.

By the end of 1981, taxes had been re-imposed, at a lower rate, where they had been lifted. Apparently in some areas 'mass enthusiasm' for paying taxes such as the 'Love the nation' tax had led to their being collected throughout the period of exemption. Tibetans felt that the Chinese were nothing but the inheritors of a past that should have been eradicated. Also, Chinese-occupied monasteries and communes were to be closed temporarily for three years, and then redistributed. Tibetan monks were not allowed to visit relatives in India when they could attend Tibetan schools.

There has been no improvement in freedom of movement. In September 1982, travel permits were still hard to obtain; the maximum granted by a brigade was five days, and by a commune or subdistrict ten days. Fines are imposed or rations withdrawn if the person does not return on time. In August 1982, the Times of India reported that Tibet had recently been witnessing 'more opposition than before' to the Chinese.

CONCLUSION

'The holocaust that happened in Tibet revealed Communist China as a cruel and inhuman executioner — more brutal and inhuman than any other communist regime in the world.' Coming as they do from Alexander Solzhenitsyn, these words are perhaps the most damning verdict on China and her rule in Tibet. Conditions in Tibet exist as both a terrible and glaring example of China's appalling record on human rights as well as a shocking indictment of a country that professes antipathy toward colonialism and racism.' It comes as no great consolation to the Tibetans to hear Western apologists pleading that Tibet is the worst example of a national minority area in China, especially as 'there is no doubt whatsoever that the desired result, however long it takes, is total assimilation of all minority races into the Chinese mainstream.' The gap between China's declared policy and its practice in Tibet exposes her hypocrisy to an ever more embarrassing degree. In the face of this, the urgent question, for the Chinese and for the Tibetans, is how far they are from reaching some kind of settlement or solution.

The change in Chinese leadership and the announcement of a new policy for Tibet in 1979 was particularly encouraging for the Tibetans. After twenty years in which any kind of dialogue with the Chinese had been unthinkable, let alone the concept of Tibetan fact-finding missions travelling freely within Tibet. A newly-found optimism prevailed on both sides, with the Dalai Lama expressing his confidence in a positive stand by the new authorities in Peking and his hopes for a real breakthrough in understanding with the Chinese. Yet when both parties faced each other at the conference table, the 'honeymoon period' came to a sudden end as they discovered that to reach an agreement was not going to be that straightforward. The Tibetans and Chinese had markedly different views, aims and demands.

For their part, the Tibetan leadership have not designed any rigid formula for the future status of Tibet, and they have demonstrated that they do not view the issue of Tibet as an ideological problem. They have maintained the one position they had all along namely that the paramount and determining factor in any discussion must be the wishes of the majority of the Tibetans in Tibet. Appropriately, we find the Dalai Lama stating quite explicitly in 1983: 'Hence, the six million Tibetan people must have the right to preserve, and enhance their cultural identity and religious freedom, the right to determine their own destiny and manage their own affairs, and find fulfillment of their free self-expression, without interference from any quarter. This is reasonable and just.'

The Tibetan issue is taking on more and more the complexion of a racial question. The Chinese insist emphatically that the Chinese should continue to rule Tibet; the Tibetans, rather than dwelling on details of some greater or lesser autonomy, demand that the Tibetans must have the freedom to decide whether they prefer to rule themselves or not. Inevitably, as this racial element becomes more and more pronounced, the whole issue is hardening into a black and white stalemate, thwarting any hopes of a solution.

One comparatively recent phenomenon which will definitely take on greater significance and finally, after 20 years the world has become better informed and noticeably more sympathetic towards the Tibetan cause. Governments, whilst not actually recognizing the Tibetan government-in-exile, are clearly taking a softer and more favourable attitude. The Dalai Lama, for example, welcomed
in many different countries in both the west and east, is now able to travel with relative ease around the world, whereas previously he was refused entry by countries like the USA and France. Whilst the Communist bloc were steadfastly hostile to the question of Tibet at the time it was raised in the United Nations, now Tibetan students are invited to study in the USSR and Mongolia, and the Dalai Lama was very warmly received in both those countries and in Hungary on recent visits. This is both a credit to the strength of leadership of the Dalai Lama as much as a vote of confidence in what he is trying to achieve. As more and more people are acquainted at least with where the Tibetans stand and with the human rights problem in Tibet, sympathy and support for the Tibetan cause spreads—a change that is viewed by the Chinese with manifest irritation and alarm.

The longer that the Tibetan problem goes unsolved, and that a settlement is delayed, the greater the likelihood that whatever small traces of their culture and identity the Tibetans in Tibet have managed to preserve will be shattered, and the harder it will be for the Tibetan leadership to maintain Tibetan determination to restore Tibet into the hands of the Tibetans. At the same time, the Chinese are aware that, the longer the delay, the more difficult it will be for them to prevent other nations from supporting the Dalai Lama and the Tibetan cause, and actively recognizing that Tibetan civilization is not only unique and important for the Tibetans, but as part of the cultural heritage of the whole of mankind.

The six' and 'eight' point plans are quoted in full in P. Wangyal, 'Tibetans in Tibet Today', 23 March 1981. As expressed by Chen Ho-Ching, now living as a Tibetan settler in India, appeared in the "Tibetan Review", October 1979, p.21, entitled, 'Chinese Colonel who became a Tibetan refugee'.


Shen Zhihuan, First Secretary of the Office of the Charge d'Affaires of the People's Republic of China in the Kingdom of the Netherlands, speaking to Hans Van Hartevelt of the Netherlands, speaking to Hans Van Hartevelt of The Office of the Chinese Ambassador to the Netherlands.}

NOTES

2 Ibid., p.231.
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19 Ibid., p.406.
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1. Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture
2. Gannan Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture
3. Linxia Hui Autonomous Prefecture
4. Haibei Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture
5. Huangnan Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture
6. Hainan Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture
7. Guoluo Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture
8. Yushu Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture
9. Haixi Mongolian, Tibetan, Kazakh Autonomous Prefecture
10. Bayingolin Mongolian Autonomous Prefecture
11. Kizilsu Khalkhas Autonomous Prefecture
12. Changji Hui Autonomous Prefecture
13. Borqala Mongolian Autonomous Prefecture
15. Xiangxi Tujia, Miao Autonomous Prefecture
16. Hainan Li, Miao Autonomous Prefecture
17. Aba (Ngawa) Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture
18. Garze Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture
19. Liangshan Yi Autonomous Prefecture
20. Qiandongnan Miao, Dong Autonomous Prefecture
21. Qiannan Bouyei, Miao Autonomous Prefecture
22. Wenshan Zhuang, Miao Autonomous Prefecture
23. Honghe Hani, Yi Autonomous Prefecture
24. Xishuangbanna Dai Autonomous Prefecture
25. Chuxiong Yi Autonomous Prefecture
26. Dali Bai Autonomous Prefecture
27. Dehong Dai, Jingpo Autonomous Prefecture
28. Nujiang Lisu Autonomous Prefecture
29. Degen Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture
### Population and major areas of distribution of Chinese national minorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National Minorities</th>
<th>Population*</th>
<th>Areas of Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zhuang</td>
<td>13,378,162</td>
<td>Guangxi, Yunnan, Guangdong, Guizhou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hui (Moslems)</td>
<td>7,219,352</td>
<td>Ningxia, Gansu, Henan, Xinjiang, Qinghai, Yunnan, Hebei, Shandong, Anhui, Liaoning, Beijing, Inner Mongolia, Heilongjiang, Tianjin, Jilin, Shaanxi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uygur</td>
<td>5,957,112</td>
<td>Xinjiang, Hunan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yi</td>
<td>5,453,448</td>
<td>Sichuan, Yunnan, Guizhou, Guangxi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miao</td>
<td>5,030,897</td>
<td>Guizhou, Yunnan, Hunan, Guangxi, Sichuan, Guangdong, Hubei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manchu</td>
<td>4,299,159</td>
<td>Liaoning, Heilongjiang, Jilin, Hebei, Beijing, Inner Mongolia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibetan</td>
<td>3,870,968</td>
<td>Tibet, Sichuan, Qinghai, Gansu, Yunnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mongolian</td>
<td>3,411,657</td>
<td>Inner Mongolia, Xinjiang, Liaoning, Jilin, Heilongjiang, Qinghai, Hebei, Henan, Gansu, Yunnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tajik</td>
<td>2,832,743</td>
<td>Hunan, Hebei, Sichuan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouyei</td>
<td>2,120,469</td>
<td>Guizhou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>1,763,870</td>
<td>Jilin, Heilongjiang, Liaoning, Inner Mongolia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dong</td>
<td>1,425,100</td>
<td>Guizhou, Hunan, Guangxi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yao</td>
<td>1,402,676</td>
<td>Guangxi, Hunan, Yunnan, Guangdong, Guizhou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bai</td>
<td>1,131,124</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hani</td>
<td>1,058,836</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazak</td>
<td>907,582</td>
<td>Xinjiang, Gansu, Qinghai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dai</td>
<td>839,797</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>817,562</td>
<td>Guangdong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisu</td>
<td>480,960</td>
<td>Yunnan, Sichuan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>She</td>
<td>368,832</td>
<td>Fujian, Zhejiang, Jiangxi, Guangdong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahu</td>
<td>304,174</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Va</td>
<td>298,591</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shui</td>
<td>286,847</td>
<td>Guizhou, Guangxi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dongxiang</td>
<td>279,397</td>
<td>Gansu, Xinjiang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naxi</td>
<td>245,154</td>
<td>Yunnan, Sichuan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tu</td>
<td>159,426</td>
<td>Qinghai, Gansu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirgiz</td>
<td>113,999</td>
<td>Xinjiang, Heilongjiang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qiang</td>
<td>102,768</td>
<td>Sichuan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daur</td>
<td>94,014</td>
<td>Inner Mongolia, Heilongjiang, Xinjiang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jingpo</td>
<td>93,008</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulao</td>
<td>90,426</td>
<td>Guangxi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xibe</td>
<td>83,629</td>
<td>Xinjiang, Liaoning, Jilin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salar</td>
<td>69,102</td>
<td>Qinghai, Gansu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulang</td>
<td>58,476</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelao</td>
<td>53,802</td>
<td>Guizhou, Guangxi, Sichuan, Hunan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maonan</td>
<td>38,135</td>
<td>Guangxi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tajik</td>
<td>26,503</td>
<td>Xinjiang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumi</td>
<td>24,337</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nu</td>
<td>23,166</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashan</td>
<td>20,441</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewenki</td>
<td>19,343</td>
<td>Inner Mongolia, Heilongjiang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uybeke</td>
<td>12,453</td>
<td>Xinjiang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benglong</td>
<td>12,295</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jing</td>
<td>11,995</td>
<td>Guangxi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jinuo</td>
<td>11,974</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yugur</td>
<td>10,569</td>
<td>Gansu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baoan</td>
<td>9,027</td>
<td>Gansu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monba</td>
<td>6,248</td>
<td>Tibet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drung</td>
<td>4,682</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oroqen</td>
<td>4,132</td>
<td>Inner Mongolia, Heilongjiang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tartar</td>
<td>4,127</td>
<td>Xinjiang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>2,935</td>
<td>Xinjiang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loba</td>
<td>2,065</td>
<td>Tibet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaoshan**</td>
<td>1,549</td>
<td>Fujian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hezhe</td>
<td>1,476</td>
<td>Heilongjiang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other national minorities (to be specifically identified)</td>
<td><strong>879,201</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the third national census of July 1, 1982, China's total population was 1,031,882,511 and that of the 31 mainland provinces, municipalities and autonomous regions was 1,003,937,078. The population of the Han nationality was 936,703,824, making up 93.3 per cent of the country's total; and that of the national minorities, 67,233,254, or 6.7 per cent of the total. Compared with statistics of the second national census in 1964, the population of the Han nationality increased 43.8 per cent and that of the national minorities increased 68.4 per cent.

** There are also 300,000-400,000 people of Gaoshan nationality in Taiwan Province.

Source: Beijing Review, 23-5-83
APPENDIX II: Basic Study Guide No.55, published by the Chamdo Information Office, 1986*

Religion is a tranquilizing poison used by capitalists to oppress people. So why does the Communist Party have to tolerate freedom of religion? The existence of religion – its development and decline – is a fact. That the people who believe in religion come mainly from the ordinary people is also an undeniable fact. We have to stop religion in that it is blind faith, against the law, and counter-revolutionary.

Blind faith includes reciting things, doing circumambulation and asking Lamas for help when someone is sick and dying.

No one is allowed to take any money in the name of religion or to try to revive what has been destroyed. Anyone asking for blessings for sick people or doing prayers or divinations for money is acting against the law.

Under the guise of religious practice, anti-revolutionaries may pass messages, conduct espionage, and urge people to destroy communism and form themselves into organizations.

Anyone above 18 years of age has the right to have faith or not, and the right to propagate atheism. No one can induce a child under 18 to do anything religious or take them to a religious service.

Anyone wishing to practice religion must obey all the laws and regulations passed by the government.

No one can try to revive the power of religion that has already been destroyed.

Anyone interested in being a member of the Communist Party or Communist Youth Organization cannot practice religion. It is the duty of the Communist Party to try to persuade any members who have a slight faith in religion to give it up. If they refuse, the Party should expel them.

These days some individuals in the Communist Party and Youth Organizations and Officers too, practice religion, chant things and go to sacred places. Such activities are clearly indicated as being forbidden. Although our constitution allows people to have the right to religion, it should be abundantly clear that Communist Party and Youth Organization members do not have the right to practice religion. Though they are part of the people, Communist Party and Youth Organization members are supposed to be more advanced and better educated people.

Under the constitution, Communist Party and Youth Organization members have the right to induce people not to believe in religion and to criticize religion. It is your duty to do this. When you first became a Party member, you pledged to hold tight the banner of Communism, so Communist Party and Youth Organization members and Officers should be people who propagate atheism and try to separate people from faith in religion.

Has policy on religion changed recently out of a desire to induce the Dalai Lama to return?

Our policy has never changed; the recent relaxation is not a new policy. Whether the Dalai Lama returns or not, we must carry on our policy on religion.

Nowadays in the name of religious freedom people create ugly rumours. They say that the Dalai Lama is being invited back to Tibet, which is a sign of the weakness of China and the victory of the Dalai Lama. They say that the Dalai Lama will come, the times will change, the people's communists will break up and the old Tibetan system of Chos-i – "government according to religion" – will be restored. They say that the communists are trying to win people over by bribing the poor. They dig up old prophecies. Under this present freedom of religion people go on pilgrimages, practice religion, and collect money and grain in groups to try to make money. This is all wrong. Also people take youngsters to religious places and try to teach them religious ideas. Some schoolteachers even try to use their position to talk about religion. All these activities are contrary to rules laid down in the constitution.

From the commune's property no-one has the right to donate one cent, one grain, one ounce of butter towards the Dharma. Although collecting money in the name of religion is thus forbidden in the constitution, there are those who collect money through chanting prayers and the like. One incarnate lama collected 400 yuan in three short years in the name of religion. This is strictly against the constitution, and, as it says in Article 165: "If anyone collects money or commodities by spreading rumours in the name of God and in blind faith, he will incur a minimum punishment of two years' imprisonment, or in some cases up to seven years".

*As translated by a member of a delegation of Tibetan exiles who recently visited Tibet.
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The Reports already published by the Minority Rights Group are:

- **No. 1** Religious minorities in the Soviet Union (Revised 1977 edition) - "systematically documented and unemotionally analysed": "tell[-ing], "outstandingly good and fairminded".
- **No. 2** The two Irelands: the double minority — a study of inter-group tensions (Revised 1979 edition) — "a rare accuracy and insight": "lucid ... well-informed": "best and the freshest": "the best 24 pages on Ireland's contemporary political problems that have found their way into the permanent literature ... excellent".
- **No. 3** Japan's minorities: Burakumins, Koreans and Alasu (New 1983 edition) — "sad and strange story ... a frightening picture": "expertly diagnosed".
- **No. 4** The Asian minorities of East and Central Africa (up to 1971) — "brilliantly sketched": "admirably clear, humane and yet dispasionate".
- **No. 5** Eritrea (New 1983 edition)
- **No. 6** The Crimean Tatars, Volga Germans and Meskhetians: Soviet treatment of some minorities (Revised 1980 edition) — "brilliant": "great detail and accuracy".
- **No. 7** The position of Blacks in Cuban and Cuban society (New 1979 edition) — "another important contribution ... from this increasingly important group".
- **No. 8** Inequalities in Zimbabwe (Revised 1981 edition) — "outlines all the horror problems".
- **No. 9** The Banuus and Catalano (Revised 1982 edition) (también en castellano): "[The Banuus' ascent to power, with a focus on the country's future]": "very valuable".
- **No.10** The Chinese in Indonesia, the Philippines and Malaysia (Revised 1982 edition) — "a well-documented and sensible plea".
- **No.11** The Biharis in Bangladesh (Fourth edition, 1982) — "a significant fusion of humane interest and objective clear-headed analysis ... a moving and deserve report".
- **No.12** Israel's Oriental Immigrants and Druezis (Revised 1981 edition) — "timely".
- **No.13** East Indians of Trinidad and Guyana (Revised 1980 edition) — "excellent".
- **No.14** Rome: Europe's Gypsies (Revised 1980 edition) (aussi en français) (also in Roman) — "the first comprehensive description and analysis of the plight": one of the weakest skeletons in Europe's cupboard".
- **No.15** What future for the Amerindians of South America? (Revised 1977 edition) (aussi en français) — "a horrifying indictment ... deserves a very wide readership".
- **No.16** The new position of East Africa's Asians (Revised 1978 edition) — "a comprehensive analysis".
- **No.17** India, the Nagas and the north-east (Revised 1980 edition) — "India has still not learned for itself the lesson it taught Britain": "a lucid presentation of the very complex history".
- **No.18** Minorities of Central Vietnam: autochthonous Indochinese people (New 1980 edition) (aussi en français) — perhaps the most valuable of all the peoples MRG has so far investigated.
- **No.19** The Nambians of South-West Africa (New 1978 edition) — "excellent": "strongly recommended".
- **No.20** Severe genocide in Burundi (aussi en français) — "a report exemplary in its objectivity, thoroughness and force": "valuable report".
- **No.21** Canada's Indians (Revised 1982 edition) — "excellent": "fascinatingly explained".
- **No.22** Race and Law in Britain and the United States (New 1983 edition) — "this situation, already explosive, is likely to be aggravated by the current economic plight".
- **No.23** The Kurds (Revised 1981 edition) — "this excellent report from the Minority Rights Group will stir consciences": "a model".
- **No.24** The Palestinians (Revised 1982 edition) — "particularly welcome": "a calm and informed survey".
- **No.25** The Tamils of Sri Lanka (Revised 1979 edition) — "a warning that unless moderation and statesmanship are more prominent terrorism could break out".
- **No.26** The untouched peoples of India (Revised 1982 edition) — "discrimination officially outlawed ... remains as prevalent as ever".
- **No.27** Arab women (Revised 1983 edition) (aussi en français) — "skillfully edited, reads sensitively through the minefield".
- **No.28** Western Europe's Migrant Workers (Revised 1978 edition) (aussi en français) (also in Deutsch) — "compassionate ... plenty of chilling first-hand detail".
- **No.29** Jehovah's Witnesses in Central Africa — "a terrible fate ... deserves widespread protest".
- **No.30** Cyprus (New 1978 edition) — "a detailed analysis".
- **No.31** The Original Americans: U.S. Indians (New 1980 edition) — "excellent": "timely and valuable ... well-researched and highly readable"
- **No.32** The Armenians (Revised 1981 edition) (aussi en français) — "an able and comprehensive account": "the historical information contained makes reading as grim as any that has passed across my desk".
- **No.33** Nomads of the Sahel (Revised 1979 edition) — "cogent and convincing".
- **No.34** Indian South Africans — "an outstanding contribution".
- **No.35** Aboriginal Australians (New 1982 edition) — "standards of health, housing and education remain abysmal".
- **No.36** Constitutional Law and Minorities — possibly the MRG's most important single report: ... it can hardly be faulted".
- **No.37** The Hungarians of Romania (aussi en français) — "fair and unbiased": "complusive reading".
- **No.38** The Social Psychology of Minorities — "must be greeted with enthusiasm ... extremely important".
- **No.39** Mexican-Americans in the U.S. (también en castellano) — "another excellent pamphlet from MRG".
- **No.40** The Struggle of Western Sahara — "informative ... vivid".
- **No.41** The International Protection of Minorities — "timely".
- **No.42** East Timor and West Irian (Revised 1982 edition)
- **No.43** The Refugee Dilemma: International Recognition and Acceptance (Revised 1981 edition) — "the outlook appears to be a cumulative nightmare".
- **No.44** French Canada in Crisis: A New Society in the Making? (Revised 1982 edition) — "a readable narrative".
- **No.45** bottled water, in Bangladesh — "we have come to expect a high standard from MRG reports, and the 46th does not disappoint. Hopefully its lessons will not be confined to those interested in Belgium".
- **No.46** Female circumcision, excision and infibulation: facts and proposals for change (Revised 1983 edition) (aussi en français; also in Arabic and Italian) — "a very well-documented study": "a horrifying report".
- **No.47** The Baluchis and Pathans — "sets out all the basic facts".
- **No.49** The Tibetans — "one of the best reports by the MRG".
- **No.50** The Ukrainians and Georgians — "a fascinating study".
- **No.51** The Bahá'í of Iran (Revised 1982 edition) — "very balanced and informative": "all credit to the MRG ... timely and objective".
- **No.52** Haitian Refugees in the US — "poverty and oppression are so interwoven".
- **No.53** International Action Against Genocide — "exhaustively researched ... argues persuasively": "if there were a peace prize for sociologists, it should be awarded to him".
- **No.54** Diego Garcia: A cause to the Falklanders — "cutting through a fog of secrecy, evasions and downright lies".
- **No.55** The Sasi of Lappland — "a new feeling of Sami consciousness".
- **No.56** The Sea of the Kalahari — "unique way of life is increasingly threatened".
- **No.57** Latin American Women
- **No.58** Puerto Ricans in the US (también en castellano)
- **No.59** Teaching about prejudice
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